Cash vs In-Kind Contribution & Direct vs Indirect Cost

MDT Transit Program



- 1) 2 CFR 200.1 Definitions.
 - *Third-party* in-kind contributions means the value of non-cash contributions (*i.e.,* property or services) that—
 - (1) Benefit a federally-assisted project or program; and
 - (2) Are contributed by non-Federal third parties, without charge, to a non-Federal entity under a Federal award.
- 2) FTA Circular 9040.1G, Ch. III, Section 4(h) (pg. 44 of 11/24/14 version)
 "The net project cost must include the value of any in-kind contributions included in net project cost to the extent it is used as local match."
- 3) FTA Circular 9040.1G, Ch. VIII, Section 5(f) (pg. 84 of 11/24/14 version) "If there is excess in-kind match available from the net project costs... it cannot be used to increase the federal share above the actual operating deficit of the project."

Take Away:

- 1) Cash vs In-Kind Contribution is dependent on who paid the cost.
 - Your Financial Organization = Cash Contribution (Direct Cost column in BlackCat) – Reimbursable
 - *Third-Party* = In-Kind Contribution *Non-Reimbursable*
- Total local share/match (orange) must be greater than or equal to the net in-kind contribution (yellow).

Local Share >= Net In-Kind

3) The federal share/reimbursement (green) must be less than or equal to the net deficit (blue).

Federal Share <= Net Deficit

Example 1: This example is GOOD because it meets both logics.

- 1) Local Share >= Net In-Kind
- 2) Federal Share <= Net Deficit

	Direct Cost (Cash Contribution)	In-Kind Contribution	Total Contribution	
Total Operating Expenses	224,678.74	50,000.00	274,678.74	
Total Amount of Fares/Donations	75,000.00	0.00	75,000.00	
Net Operating Deficit	149,678.74	<mark>50,000.00</mark>	199,678.74	
Eligible Operating Reimbursement at 54.11%			<mark>80,991.16</mark>	Federal
Local Share at 45.89%			<mark>68,687.58</mark>	Local

Example 2: This example is BAD because it doesn't meet both logics.

- 1) Local Share < Net In-Kind
- 2) Federal Share > Net Deficit

t	*

	Direct Cost (Cash Contribution)	In-Kind Contribution	Total Contribution	
Total Operating Expenses	224,678.74	127,000.00	351,678.74	
Total Amount of Fares/Donations	75,000.00	0.00	75,000.00	
Net Operating Deficit	149,678.74	<mark>127,000.00</mark>	276,678.74	
Eligible Operating Reimbursement at 54.11%			149,710.86	Federal
Local Share at 45.89%			126,967.88	Local

Pop Quiz: Is this GOOD or BAD example?

	Direct Cost (Cash Contribution)	In-Kind Contribution	Total Contribution	
Total Administrative Expenses	175,000.00	43,751.00	218,751.00	
Net Administrative Deficit	175,000.00	<mark>43,751.00</mark>	218,751.00	
Eligible Admin Reimbursement at 80.00%			175,000.80	Federal
Local Share at 20.00%			43,750.20	Local

Hint: The logic to use is:

Local Share >= Net In-Kind

Federal Share <= Net Deficit

Pop Quiz: Is this GOOD or BAD example?

	Direct Cost (Cash Contribution)	In-Kind Contribution	Total Contribution	
Total Administrative Expenses	175,000.00	43,751.00	218,751.00	
Net Administrative Deficit	175,000.00	<mark>43,751.00</mark>	218,751.00	
Eligible Admin Reimbursement at 80.00%			175,000.80	Federal
Local Share at 20.00%			<mark>43,750.20</mark>	Local

Hint: The logic to use is:

Local Share >= Net In-Kind

Federal Share <= Net Deficit

Answer: BAD because it doesn't meet both logics.

- 1) Local Share < Net In-Kind 🗱
- 2) Federal Share > Net Deficit 🗱

How do you find out the max in-kind contribution that you can apply based on only your Direct Net Deficit?

	Direct Cost	In-Kind	Total	
	(Cash Contribution)	Contribution	Contribution	
Total Administrative Expenses	175,000.00	X.XX	X.XX	
Net Administrative Deficit	175,000.00	X.XX	X.XX	
Eligible Admin Reimbursement at 80.00%			X.XX	Federal
Local Share at 20.00%			X.XX	Local

*=ROUNDDOWN('Direct Net Deficit' / 'Federal Share %' * 'Local Share %',2)* =ROUNDDOWN(175,000/. 8*.2,2) =43,750.00

	Direct Cost (Cash Contribution)	In-Kind Contribution	Total Contribution	
Total Administrative Expenses	175,000.00	43,750.00	218,750.00	
Net Administrative Deficit	175,000.00	<mark>43,750.00</mark>	218,750.00	
Eligible Admin Reimbursement at 80.00%			175,000.00	Federal
Local Share at 20.00%			43,750.00	Local

Direct Cost vs Indirect Cost

1) 2 CFR 200.413 *Direct costs*.

- "... costs that can be identified specifically with a particular final cost objective... or that can be *directly assigned to such activities relatively easily with a high degree of accuracy*.."

2) *Indirect Cost (IDC)* are costs that cannot easily with a high degree of accuracy be traced to cost objectives.

3) 2 CFR 200.412 Classification of costs.

- "... it is essential that each item of cost incurred for the same purpose be *treated consistently in like circumstances* either as a direct or an indirect (F&A) cost in order *to avoid possible double-charging* of Federal awards."

Direct Cost vs Indirect Cost

4) 2 CFR 200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs.

- "... may elect to charge a *de minimis rate of 10%* of modified total direct costs (MTDC) which may be used *indefinitely*. *No documentation is required to justify* the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate."

- 5) 2 CFR 200 Appendices III-VII and IX provides the requirements for development and submission of the IDC rates for *negotiated IDC rates*.
 - Must begin with the application submission.

Cash & In-Kind Contribution

2 CFR 200.306(b)

All contributions, including cash and third-party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the non-Federal entity's cost sharing or matching when such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- Verifiable from subrecipient's records;
- Not included as contributions for any other Federal award;
- Necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives;
- *Allowable* under 2 CFR 200 Subpart E and all other applicable cost principles;
- Not paid by the Federal Government under another Federal award, except where authorized by the Federal statute;
- Provided for in the approved budget when required by the Federal awarding agency; and
- Conform to other provisions of 2 CFR 200.

Cash & In-Kind Contribution

You must be able to prove *allowability* of the contribution regardless of *Cash* or *In-Kind Contribution*.

Allowability

To meet federal standards for allowability, a cost charged to an award must be:

- Allocable to the award under the provisions of the applicable cost principles;
- Necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance of the award;
- Treated consistently as a direct or indirect cost
- Determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), except as otherwise stipulated in the applicable cost principles
- Net of all applicable credits
- Not included as cost or used to meet the cost-sharing or matching requirements of another federal award, unless specifically permitted by federal statute
- Adequately documented
- Authorized or not prohibited under state or local laws and regulations
- In conformance with limits or exclusions on types or amounts of costs
- Consistent with the subrecipient's policies, regulations, and procedures that apply to both federal awards and other activities of the subrecipient
- Incurred during the approved budget period

Resources

- BlackCat > Resources > Global Resources
 - In-Kind Reimbursement Request Template (9/6/23 Version)
- eCFR :: 2 CFR Part 200 -- Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards
 < <u>https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200</u>>
- Formula Grants for Rural Areas: Program Guidance and Application Instructions | FTA (dot.gov)

<<u>https://www.transit.dot.gov/regulations-and-guidance/fta-circulars/formula-grants-</u> <u>rural-areas-program-guidance-and-application</u>>

 Award Management Requirements Circular (5010.1E) | FTA (dot.gov) <<u>https://www.transit.dot.gov/regulations-and-guidance/fta-circulars/award-management-requirements-circular-50101e</u>>