APPENDIX A
CONSULTATION, COORDINATION AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
Reference: MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake, Corridor Planning Study, Draft, November 2011

Dear Ms. Strizich:

Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) is pleased that the Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has completed the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake: Corridor Planning Study Draft (Nov 2011; hereafter, Draft Study). This is a corridor study that FWP recommended in our October 1, 2009 letter to MDT. MDT’s willingness to implement the study process, as well as pursue ongoing mitigations to reduce collisions between bighorn sheep and motorists are greatly appreciated.

FWP would like to offer the following comments and recommendations regarding the Draft Study. Although we are submitting these later than planned, we hope they may be of help as you progress in this corridor study.

GENERAL COMMENTS

Fisheries

1. Regarding Fisheries/Water comments made at the Resource Agency Meeting (Sec 3.3, p 31):

   a. While fish entrainment in ditches may be an issue within the corridor study area, we believe it is unlikely to be something that MDT would be able to address during possible corridor improvement. This was probably stated as a general fisheries concern for the area and not necessary something that should be addressed in this study report.

   b. One of the most important issues FWP brought up at the agency meeting was FWP’s desire to address fish passage concerns at several Highway 1 stream crossings in the near future. The most important location discussed was the culvert crossing at Twin Lakes Creek. Other locations included two culvert crossings on the Silver Lake Aqueduct (historically the old Storm Lake Creek channel; uppermost and lowermost crossings). None of these crossing are currently fish friendly. Upstream passage through these structures may be limited to certain time periods and/or fish life stages. As is stated in the Draft Study, Warm Springs Creek is considered critical habitat for bull trout, and restoring connectivity is of utmost importance in this drainage. We would like to see a greater emphasis on this in the CPS, including a specific plan under the Spot Improvement Options (Sec 5.3, or wherever it is most appropriate) to
address passage concerns at these locations (particularly the Twin Lakes Creek crossing) in the near term. We believe this would lend greater importance to these potential project locations as funding becomes available.

c. Due to the age of the North Fork Flint Creek crossing, we believe that any corridor improvements would ultimately have to do work on this structure during any project implementation. Fish passage would be an important consideration when redesigning this crossing, due to North Fork Flint Creek being an important spawning tributary for brook trout, rainbow trout and kokanee salmon populations in Georgetown Lake, one of the most fished bodies of water in Montana.

Wildlife

In light of the emerging information, strong public support, and continued conflict with bighorn and motorists, FWP would like to see additional consideration for placing a wildlife underpass near mile marker 14.5 to eliminate bighorn sheep strikes in the area. A Wildlife Overpass (Sec 5.4, 6(c); p 50) option was considered, but not advanced in the Draft Study. While not specifically mentioned in that section, from the way it was written, we believe that it intended to include a wildlife underpass as also not being advanced. But Improvement Options Summary and Implementation (Sec 5.5, p 51, para 2) states: “The recommended improvement options recognize the impact of the roadway on wildlife resources, and offers potential mitigation strategies that may be candidates for further exploration during project development activities. These include wildlife signing, wildlife fencing, animal detection systems, and the potential for wildlife underpasses/overpasses” (FWP emphasis in italics). We found no later mention of underpass in the document. FWP recommends a wildlife underpass be advanced in the Draft Study as a spot improvement—at least as a long-term improvement option—and that it also be listed in the Recommended Improvement Options Summary (Table 5.1, and its companion Figure 5.2).

The adoption of a seasonal reduced-speed limit from mile marker 14.3 to 15.3, substitution of sand for salt when de-icing the area, and variable message signage warning of bighorn sheep in the roadway has been partially effective at reducing bighorn sheep road kill. FWP appreciates the work of MDT and Anaconda-Deer Lodge County (ADLC) in implementing these changes. Unfortunately some collisions still occur. Despite close coordination between MDT and ADLC road crews, application of salt to the roadway occurs on occasion and can result in the deaths of bighorn as well as danger and cost to motorists. For example, on November 19, 2011 an adult bighorn ewe was struck and killed by a vehicle at 10 a.m.—the road was not icy and the collision occurred in broad daylight without the motorist stopping. Apparently, the road had been salted the previous night due to an incoming storm and MDT’s need to assure that motorists would not slide off the road.

FWP expects the continued development of Anaconda’s West Valley and the Georgetown Lake area as well as expansion of the Discovery Ski Area, to lead to greater traffic volumes and increased vehicle strikes on Highway 1 West and with bighorn specifically. With increasing traffic volume and only partial success in slowing traffic and removing salt from the road, construction of a wildlife underpass may be the only long-term solution to eliminate bighorn versus vehicle accidents from mile marker 14 to 15.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STUDY DOCUMENT

Below, grouped by Chapter are FWP’s recommended changes, updates and/or corrections to the Draft Study.
Chapter 2. Existing and Projected conditions (pp 5-28)

1. **Future Traffic Projections** (Sec 2.1.2, p 6). Are there any expected traffic increases expected as a result of current and planned expansions at Discovery Ski Area?

2. **Crash Analysis** (Sec 2.1.4, pp 8-9). Frequent FWP staff response to and observations of road kill on Highway 1 West suggest that MDT’s crash rate and severity data may underestimate the number of wildlife strikes on the highway. The fact that MDT carcass data show more collisions than the crash rate data likely demonstrates or reinforces this.

3. **Hydraulics** (Sec 2.2.8, p 15). The word “ephemeral” should be changed to “perennial” when referring to stream and tributary types, e.g., “Numerous intermittent and perennial tributaries, . . . flow out of the mountains on either side of the highway”. This change should also be made on pages 21 and 22 where the word “ephemeral” occurs. Several of the streams listed are perennial streams, not “intermittent or ephemeral,” as is currently stated.

4. **Hydraulics** (Sec 2.2.8, p 15). All places in the Draft Study where “Storm Creek” is used should be changed to “Storm Lake Creek.”

5. **Wildlife** (Sec 2.3.6, p 18). The most current information suggests that the Anaconda bighorn sheep herd now numbers well under 100 animals. Our high count is 40 as of December 5, and we estimate the total may be 65 bighorn. The small size of this population makes it all the more imperative to avoid the road kill of bighorn sheep.

6. **Birds** (Sec 2.3.8, p 19). In addition to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, we would add that the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act should also be carefully considered.¹

7. **Aquatic Resources** (Sec 2.3.9; Fisheries, p 19 para 1). “Westslope cutthroat” should be changed to “westslope cutthroat trout.”

8. **Aquatic Resources** (Sec 2.3.9; Fisheries, p 19 para 1). The meaning of the final sentence in the first paragraph (“River miles from 24.2 . . .”) is unclear in its relation to fisheries--it may be referring more to big game and/or bighorn sheep winter and spring range? We are also unsure what “MFWP protected areas” is referencing.

9. **Wetlands** (Sec 2.3.15, p 23; Aquatic Resources).

   a. We recommend this section be re-titled Wetlands and Riparian Areas, and expanded to clearly include riparian areas associated with perennial and intermittent streams--areas which are not always wetlands.

   b. With the previous change, this subsection would then be a good place to reference the State of Montana’s various stream permitting requirements² for which we found no mention in the Study. One example is the need for a Montana Stream Protection Act (SPA 124) permit for any government agency proposing a project that may affect the bed or banks of any stream in Montana.

---

¹ You may wish to consult with our nongame wildlife biologist, Kristi DuBois (phone 406-542-5551 in Missoula, kdubois@mt.gov) for further information.

c. In Flood Plains and Floodways (Sec 2.3.16, p 23; Aquatic Resources): The final sentence in the first paragraph is a very useful statement regarding the “natural beneficial values” of floodplains. Most of these values apply equally to wetlands and riparian areas. Therefore, we recommend a similar statement of values be added to the (re-titled) Wetlands section.

d. Alternatively, items a. and b. above could be added to and addressed in the Flood Plains and Floodways section (2.3.16), to become Flood Plains, Floodways and Riparian Areas.

e. The introduction in the Environmental Setting (Sec 2.3, p 16, para 1) indicates that Section 2.3 is a summary of the earlier, full Environmental Scan (a copy of which is in Appendix B of the Draft Study). In the Wetlands section (3.4, p 12, para 5) of the Scan, we found three statements regarding wetlands:

   Impacts to wetland areas should be avoided and minimized through conscientious project design. Documentation of avoidance and minimization measures should be included in the project development. Any unavoidable wetland impacts must be quantified and compensated for through mitigation in accordance with USACOE regulatory requirements.

   We believe this information is important enough that we recommend the statements be added to the Wetlands section in the Draft Study.

10. Wildlife Connectivity and Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions (Sec 2.4.4, p 26; in Sec 2.4 Areas of Concern and Consideration. There are many important wildlife crossings between Anaconda and Georgetown but there is really only one remaining stretch of country that is somewhat intact and free of homes and development, and that is the stretch from Silver Lake eastward to Yankee Flats. This is the last stretch of open space between Anaconda and Georgetown: It links up the ridgelines (Wraith Hill, Grouse Hill, Johnson Hill, Silver Hill, Mount Haggins) and drainages of upper Warm Springs Creek (Storm Lake Creek, Twin Creek, Cable Creek) between the Flint and Anaconda/Pintler Ranges. In recent times FWP has verified some grizzly bear activity in this area and it appears that this stretch may be important for other wildlife as well. We would like to see this area given official designation as an important wildlife movement zone in the Corridor Planning Study and added to Section 2.4.4.

Chapter 4, (and 4.1 subsection) Corridor Needs and Objectives (pp 33-34)

Need # 2: Preserve the environmental, scenic and recreational nature of the corridor and promote wildlife and aquatic connectivity. This section references “best practice’ mitigation strategies” relative to wildlife in the third- and fourth-bulleted “Objectives.” We are not familiar with these strategies, so could you direct FWP to a reference for these best practices?

Chapter 5, Improvement Options (pp 35-54)

1. Wildlife/Aquatics (Sec 5.1.3)

   a. We note that paragraph 1 of this section on page 36 indicates that the corridor carcass data are for the time period 1999-2010, but the time period is listed as 2006-2010 in Crash Analysis (Sec 2.1.4, p 9, para 4).

   b. We did not find any aquatics improvement strategies in this section or in Corridor-wide Improvements (Sec 5.2). We found mention of impacts to fisheries only in sections 13
Roadway widening (RP 24.2- RP 27.35) and Guardrail (RP 24.8-RP 26.8) of the Spot Improvement Options (Sec 5.3). We recommend that an option in the Corridor-wide Improvements section be added to address fish passage evaluation and potential improvement at all culverts and bridges in the corridor planning study area, as well as any stream areas that run “parallel” to any portions of the corridor.

2. Corridor-wide Improvements (Sec 5.2).

   a. Animal Detection System (Subsec 2(b), p 39). FWP welcomes the use of animal detection techniques to alert motorists of wildlife on the road, but we believe note that speed-limit restrictions are more effective at slowing motorists than optional reductions in speed suggested/recommended by wildlife signage.

   b. Wildlife Fencing (Subsec 2(c), p 39) and Vegetation Management Plan (Subsec 3, p 39). FWP recommends that these sections indicate that MDT will consult FWP biologists early in the development of these plans.

3. Spot Improvement Options (Sec 5.3). We agree that the junction of Discovery Road (USFS Road 65, Southern Cross Road3; Subsec 16, pp 47-48) with Highway 1 is problematic. Motorists on Highway 1—at high speed (60 mph) on a curve with limited visibility south of this junction—are intersecting with Discovery Road motorists turning onto the highway. Additionally, pedestrians and recreationists such as snowmobilers and bicyclists cross Highway 1 at this junction to reach the lake. This also is exacerbated by the presence of local businesses (motel, restaurant, rental cabins) on the lower portion of Discovery Road within one-tenth mile of this intersection. Therefore, in addition to the improvement options listed in the Draft Study, we recommend slowing traffic at this site below the currently posted 60 miles per hour. Perhaps local businesses would also support this action.

4. Improvement Options Summary and Implementation (Sec 5.5). FWP has a general concern relative to whether the wildlife and aquatic concerns that are not singled out as separate (spot) or corridor-wide improvements will be considered early enough in project development to allow for adequate budgeting and design. For example, the highway widening proposed for the Silver Lake-Georgetown Lake stretch (Project 13 under Spot Improvement Options) appears to warrant wildlife connectivity/wildlife mitigation measures, as well as fish passage in the vicinity. We would like to see MDT explore fisheries/aquatic and wildlife needs/options in more detail now, so that something for fish and wildlife is added to the estimated project cost of $3.75 million, versus potentially indicating that such work could not be accommodated given this early projected cost.

Chapter 6. Funding Mechanisms (pp 55-62)

FWP encourages Anaconda-Deerlodge County and MDT to pursue Community Transportation Enhancement Program (CTEP) funding, especially to address “wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity”—the sixth bulleted item under Eligible CTEP categories (p 56).

---

3 The Montana Cadastral website http://svc.mt.gov/mls/mtcadastral/ lists parcels along this road as having a “Southern Cross Road” property address, but we did not see this road name mentioned in the Draft Study. We also did not find mention of whether or not this is a county road, and if so, how many miles north from its MT-1 junction.
Appendices

The Environmental Scan (App A) includes a Public Land Ownership Maps, Appendix A (right after p 23 of the Scan). Some recommended changes include:

1. The Montana Wild Sheep Foundation copied us on their 25 November 2011 comment letter for this Draft Study, and we noted their comment about the Blue-eyed Nellie Wildlife Management Area (WMA) map in the Scan (App A) not being up to date. This map is from FWP’s website, and we have now updated it (enclosed). The webpage for this WMA on FWP’s website is http://fwpiis.mt.gov/habitat/siteDetail.html?id=1130750, and the direct link to this updated map is http://fwpiis.mt.gov/maps/wma/2140.pdf.

2. An additional public lands map to add to this Appendix would be FWP’s Stuart Mill Bay Fishing Access Site (FAS). This FAS is accessed by Denton Point Road, and its northwest area is within the MT-1 corridor study area (map enclosed). The webpage for this FAS on FWP’s website is http://fwpiis.mt.gov/fishing/siteDetail.html?id=5986103, and the direct link to this map is http://fwpiis.mt.gov/maps/fas/2292.pdf. We did not find mention anywhere in the Scan of this FAS.

3. FWP also has a small 2.485-acre parcel along the north side of Highway 1 at its junction with Whitetail Lane (or Road; a little north past the junction of Southern Cross/Discovery Road with Hwy 1). Location is T5N, R13W, Sec 7 SE4, and its Geocode is 30-1375-07-4-02-01-0000 on the MT Cadastral website http://svc.mt.gov/mdl/mntcadastral/.

Thank you for providing FWP the opportunity to comment on this Study, and thank you for your patience.

Also, would you please ensure that Sharon Rose⁴ at our office is on your contact list for this project as it progresses? She coordinates any review and comments made by myself and Region 2 FWP on this and other projects.

Sincerely,

Mack Long
Regional Supervisor
MI/sr

Enc: Blue-eyed Nellie WMA map, Stuart Mill Bay FAS map

C: Tom Martin and Barry Brosten, MDT, Helena, MT
   Jeff Key, Robert Peccia & Associates, Helena, MT
   James Weatherly, Executive Director, Montana Wild Sheep Foundation, Missoula, MT

⁴ Phone 406-542-5540; address Region 2 FWP, 3201 Spurgin Rd, Missoula, MT 59804; email shrose@mt.gov.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/06/11</td>
<td>A question, comment or request has been submitted via the &quot;Contact Us&quot; web page.</td>
<td>Thank you for your comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action Item:</strong> Comment on a Project</td>
<td>Speed data was collected at four locations along MT-1 during this study. Section 2.1.3 “Vehicle Speeds” in the corridor study report contains the results of the speed data collection. The collected data does not support a 65 mph speed limit between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake. Speed limits can only be modified by petition of the Anaconda-Deer Lodge County (ADLC) Commissioners to the Montana Transportation Commission. The issue of drivers exceeding the posted speed limit is an enforcement issue that falls under the responsibility of the city of Anaconda, Deer Lodge County, and the Montana Highway Patrol. Crash trends within the corridor are slightly lower than similar corridors in the State of Montana. Special safety signing for full time headlight use is not warranted at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Submitted:</strong> 11/06/2011 13:23:04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Commenting On:</strong> MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project State Highway No.:</strong> MT-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Nearest Town/City to Project:</strong> Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> Judy Fink</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Email Address:</strong> <a href="mailto:bjfinko5@yahoo.com">bjfinko5@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comment or Question:</strong> I would like to express my concerns regarding MT 1 from Anaconda to Georgetown Lake. We have lived at Georgetown Lake for the last 12 years and 8 of those I drove to Anaconda to work. I believe the speed limit should be 65 mph from Anaconda to Georgetown Lake. With the speed limit at 70 mph people are driving 75 and 80. I have encountered every animal on the road and have seen deer get hit. I have even encountered sheep on the highway just below Silver Lake and at Spring Hill. Some people do not drive with caution, whether it is summer or winter. There are a lot of trailers in the summer and skiers in the winter on the road. People get in a hurry and drive too fast. Also, I have suggested to the highway department over 10 years ago that there should be signs from Anaconda to Drummond that say &quot;Please drive with your headlights on&quot;. You cannot believe how many people drive at dusk without headlights on. I could not attend the meeting, but I hope you will consider these two requests: 1. Changing the speed limit 2. Drive with headlights on Thank you for your time. Judy Fink</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Submitter’s IP address:</strong> 166.250.3.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reference Number =</strong> picomment_944000244140625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you for your comment.

Three improvement projects have been identified to improve the intersection of MT-1 and Georgetown Lake Road near the dam. These are noted in the corridor study report as improvement options 19(a), 19(b) and 19(c).

A speed study was performed on MT-1 at several locations during the summer of 2011. Section 2.1.3 “Vehicle Speeds” in the corridor study report contains the results of the speed data collection. Near Georgetown Lake, the posted speed limit is 60 mph. The 85th percentile speeds (i.e. the speed at which 85% of the vehicles are travelling at or under) was 65.4 mph. As the posted speed limit is very close to within 5 mph of the 85th percentile speeds, no changes to the posted speed limit are proposed. Speed limits can only be modified by petition of the Anaconda-Deer Lodge County (ADLC) Commissioners to the Montana Transportation Commission.

Improvements to the intersection of MT-1 and Discovery Basin Road (i.e. Seven Gable area) are recommended and are noted in the corridor study report as improvement options 16(a thru d).

Short-term, parking enforcement is a local law enforcement issue. Long-term, improvement option 13 recommends reconstructing MT-1 between Denton Point Road and Georgetown Lake Road near the dam. During this development parking mitigation design strategies would be considered. Additionally, improvements to address pedestrian concerns would be addressed at that time.

Improvement options have been identified at the Denton Point Road intersection to mitigate the grade issues on Denton Point Road and are referred to as improvement option 12 (a thru f). If these
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>improvements are implemented – specifically number 12(e) - the sight obstruction and visibility concerns will be alleviated.</td>
<td>The horizontal curve referred to is identified for higher visibility signing with an advisory speed plate in the corridor study report (improvement option 11).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you for your comment.

The potential usage of a wildlife underpass was reviewed within the scope of this planning study. The conclusion reached by the planning team was to advance a wildlife underpass near RP 14.5 as a long-term improvement option. A wildlife underpass would be evaluated further if project development activities are initiated. The corridor study report describes this in further detail under improvement option 6(c).

Since there is presently not enough data available to measure the success of wildlife underpasses specific to Bighorn Sheep, the recommendations contained in the corridor study report also set forth a range of wildlife mitigation measures to implement while conditions are monitored and more data becomes available regarding Bighorn Sheep usage of wildlife underpasses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|      | **THANKS FOR LETTING ME RESPOND.**
**THANKS,**
LORRY THOMAS
PRES. OF SPORTSMAN
2 CHERRY ST.
ANAconda, MT 59711
1-406-563-7972

P.S.
Attended the meeting on Highway 1 and was told the Department isn’t thinking about a overpass for the Big Horned Sheep or other wildlife.

So glad you didn’t give this better attention. I think we could have attained enough help |

Thank you for your comment. Attention was given to this matter throughout the development of this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/09/11</td>
<td>Jeff, Kristie Vauthier from the Anaconda Saddle Club. I liked all the proposals in the study. Most of it sounds like general repairs. I really like the turning lane and I'm OK with that speed increase to make the Georgetown people happy. I am against putting in an Animal crossing over/under pass. I feel that would allow people to drive 70 along the corridor and the 12 foot game fence would cut all access to any kind of access to the opposite side of the highway. Thanks for your time. Kristie Vauthier Glacier Bank 307 East Park Avenue Anaconda, MT 59711 (406) 497-7111 <a href="mailto:kvauthier@glacierbank.com">kvauthier@glacierbank.com</a></td>
<td>Thank you for your comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/11</td>
<td>I have downloaded the draft study. Just a side note, when I went to the site for the corridor draft study, I was totally confused. I did finally get the right report, but there were so many side links, with huge files, wow!! Just a couple of items that have popped up so far. Georgetown Fire department has their number three fire house at the NRD location. Some special consideration should be given to that site. Denton Point road shows up several places in the report, should all be Georgetown Lake Road. I am still reviewing the study. Jerome Jenson</td>
<td>Thank you for your comment. Signage currently exists along MT-1 for the DNRC fire operations center, at which the Georgetown Lake Fire Station No. 3 is co-located. This roadway is officially labeled as Denton Point Road in the County map index and GIS database, although locally it is known as Georgetown Lake Road. In the corridor study report changes were made to acknowledge that some in the local community refer to Denton Point Road as Georgetown Lake Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/11</td>
<td>A question, comment or request has been submitted via the &quot;Contact Us&quot; web page.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Email Address: bfinnegan02@msn.com

Comment or Question:
I was unable to attend the meeting in Anaconda but feel strongly about adding a bike trail west of town.
Will there be a bike path included in the plans for Hwy 1, West of Anaconda? For safety reasons I feel that this is a priority when planning for future recreation in the area.

Submitter's IP address: 69.146.211.149
Reference Number = picomment_116973876953125

Thank you for your comment.
A separated multi-use trail is part of improvement option 5 as referenced in the corridor study report. The trail is consistent with the ADLC trails plan and will accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists. The trail is recommended to be placed on the north side of MT-1 for the first four miles west of Anaconda.

11/11/11
A question, comment or request has been submitted via the "Contact Us" web page.

Action Item: Comment on a Project
Project Commenting On: MT1
Name: Jerome Jenson
Address Line 1: 15 Lodgepole Lane West
City: Anaconda
State/Province: MT
Postal Code: 59711
Email Address: JerryJenson62@msn.com
Phone Number: 406-563-3471

Comment or Question:
Comments about the MT1 corridor study
I live in the Georgetown Lake area and I frequently use MT1 to go to Anaconda and Butte.
There is only one Georgetown Lake Road that intersects at approx. MP 22.
There is a Georgetown Lake fire station #3 at about MP 18 that should receive special signing and a larger intersection.
The intersection at Georgetown Lake Road and also at Discovery Road (?) should have a street light for safety.

Thank you for your comment.
The intersecting roadway near MP 22 is officially labeled as Denton Point Road in the County map index and GIS database, although locally it is known as Georgetown Lake Road. Furthermore, the intersecting roadway near the dam is officially recorded as Georgetown Lake Road. In the corridor study report changes were made to acknowledge that some in the local community refer to Denton Point Road as Georgetown Lake Road. Signage currently exists along MT-1 for the DNRC fire operations center, at which the Georgetown Lake Fire Station No. 3 is co-located.
Several improvements are recommended at this intersection that will improve operations. These are
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgetown Lakeshore (about MP 25.5) that abuts to the highway should have a special design. Winter snow drifts along with the berm created from the snowplows pose a winter driving hazard. Many cars slide into the east side ditch. Delineators prevent snowplows from slinging the snow into the ditch in that area. Inslopes of 3:1 or flatter would slow up a lot of the snow drifting.</td>
<td>identified as improvement options 16 (a thru d). Installation of a street light would normally not be considered at a rural intersection location unless there was raised median on one or more leg(s) of the intersection. In the future, the local community/residents could approach the MDT and propose a street light at this location. Installation and continued maintenance of the street light would need to be funded by the local community/residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The typical section that exists at the beginning of the project (MP 10) should be continued to about MP14.5. There should be five lanes consisting of 12 foot driving lanes and a 14 foot left turn lane with 4 foot shoulders on each side. That typical section would occupy 70 feet of road way which consists of 200 feet, which would leave plenty of room for a railway.</td>
<td>A long-term recommendation is described in the corridor study report, improvement option 13, which recommends reconstructing MT-1 between Denton Point Road and Georgetown Lake Road near the dam. During the project development process, special design considerations would be evaluated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>By widening the road would allow a safer driving condition as well as prevent traffic backups. Summer traffic as well as weekend skiers back up traffic frequently.</td>
<td>The continuation of a five-lane roadway through the first four miles of the project is not consistent with the needs and objectives defined by the planning team, resource agencies and community stakeholders. Traffic volumes do not warrant this type of section, nor does it fit within the context of the adjacent land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has the subgrade and road fill of the present road been tested for contaminates? It would be discouraging to discover contaminates and then have to redo the whole road. The present road has been very stable in the past ten years.</td>
<td>As this is a high level planning study, the existing road subgrade and fill has not been tested for contamination. This would be completed during the project development process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better intersections in the dense area should be designed. The present frontage road intersections seem to be doing their job alright, as noted by the low frequency of accidents in that area. The striping pattern and no-pass zones should be reevaluated. There are several sections that have clear visibility of over one half mile that have no-pass striping. The intersection densities are no worse than several other rural Montana areas that allow passing on rural roads.</td>
<td>Intersection design and pavement marking modifications would be completed during the project development process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New cut rock slopes should not have fencing to catch fallen rock, they are ugly. There have not been any rock slides nor do the glacial rock deposits slough down on any portion of the highway.</td>
<td>Rock fencing is one type of rockfall mitigation allowed by the MDT. Increased maintenance activities are also suggested. Both of these strategies are contained in the corridor study report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The water rights for the pump house at Georgetown Lake are no longer valid. It has been reported that the water rights have been given back to DNRC. The pump house as a roadside hazard could be dismantled, and removed from the hazard zone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submitter’s IP address: 75.249.182.73
Reference Number = picomment_231170654296875
**DATE** | **COMMENT** | **RESPONSE**
--- | --- | ---
11/21/11 | A question, comment or request has been submitted via the "Contact Us" web page. | Thank you for your comment. The potential usage of a wildlife underpass was reviewed within the scope of this planning study. The conclusion reached by the planning team was to advance a wildlife underpass near RP 14.5 as a long-term improvement option. A wildlife underpass would be evaluated further if project development activities are initiated. The corridor study report describes this in further detail under improvement option 6(c). Since there is presently not enough data available to measure the success of wildlife underpasses specific to Bighorn Sheep, the recommendations contained in the corridor study report also set forth a range of wildlife mitigation measures to implement while conditions are monitored and more data becomes available regarding Bighorn Sheep usage of wildlife underpasses.

Action Item: Comment on a Project
Submitted: 11/21/2011 15:26:41
Project Commenting On: MT1
Project State Highway No.: MT-1
Nearest Town/City to Project: Anaconda
Project Milepost: 14.5
Name: Brian Solan, PE
Address Line 1: 116 Sandpiper Loop
City: Helena
State/Province: MT
Postal Code: 59602
Email Address: bsolan.bs@gmail.com
Phone Number: 406-461-7432

Comment or Question:

I am writing to comment on the Draft Corridor Study Report of MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake. As an Anaconda native and current owner of property at Georgetown Lake I support the majority of the corridor study recommendations with one exception. I would strongly encourage the final study of the draft to ADVANCE the Long Term Improvement 6(c) Wildlife Underpass at location 14.5. While I agree with the assessment that an overpass crossing is not feasible given the site challenges and costs, an underpass crossing coupled with wildlife fencing and non-salt roadway de-icing solution is the best long term solution for the bighorn sheep and wildlife in this area. Underpass crossings are less expensive to build and have proven effective in different areas of the country including Arizona and Highway 93 in Western Montana.

Submitter’s IP address: 107.0.10.2
Reference Number = picomment_353363037109375
Thank you for your comment.

The potential usage of a wildlife underpass was reviewed within the scope of this planning study. The conclusion reached by the planning team was to advance a wildlife underpass near RP 14.5 as a long-term improvement option. A wildlife underpass would be evaluated further if project development activities are initiated. The corridor study report describes this in further detail under improvement option 6(c).

Since there is presently not enough data available to measure the success of wildlife underpasses specific to Bighorn Sheep, the recommendations contained in the corridor study report also set forth a range of wildlife mitigation measures to implement while conditions are monitored and more data becomes available regarding Bighorn Sheep usage of wildlife underpasses.

The image provided shows a Desert Bighorn Sheep crossing underneath an Arizona highway. This image is located on the website www.wildlifeandroads.org and is related to the Decision Guide Process contained in NCHRP Report 615: Evaluation of the Use and Effectiveness of Wildlife Crossings. The image and circumstance of this underpass are vastly different than the conditions experienced along MT-1 west of Anaconda.

A report prepared by the Arizona Game and Fish Department titled Evaluation of Distribution and Trans-Highway Movement of Desert Bighorn Sheep: Arizona Highway 68 (August 2008) examined three wildlife underpasses along State Route (SR) 68 between 2006 and 2007. In the study, less than 32 Desert Bighorn Sheep utilized an underpass crossing – out of three studied. Eighty-eight percent of the sheep underpass crossings were through the underpass with...
the most topographical relief and rugged terrain. Only three crossings were noted at the underpass that was relatively flat with no topographical relief. A summary statement contained in the report was that “…the presence of ungulates and humans may preclude Bighorn use of underpasses.”

Comparisons to U.S. Highway 93 (US 93) in Montana may be difficult as US 93 has much higher traffic volumes than MT-1, and at most underpass locations topographical relief is available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11/25/11 | Thank you for your comment. Thank you for bringing the 29 acre land purchase to our attention. The Blue Eyed Nellie WMA map was accessed on the MFWP website on December 7, 2011 at the following address: http://fwp.mt.gov/habitat/siteDetail.html?id=1130750
The current map on the MFWP website is the same as contained in Appendix A and does not reflect the 29 acre public parcel referred to. At the time the maps were created (January 2011) for Appendix A, the NRIS database showed the 29 acre parcel as being “private” and under the ownership of the Five Valleys Land Trust. Accordingly, this parcel was not coded as “public”.
MT-1 is not an “officially” designated wildlife corridor.  
Carol Strizich  
Project Manager  
MTD Statewide and Urban Planning Section  
PO Box 201001  
Helena, MT 59620-1001  

November 25, 2011
Dear Ms. Strizich:
The Montana Wild Sheep Foundation (MTWSF) appreciates this opportunity to submit its comments and concerns with the above referenced draft corridor study. MTWSF is a nonprofit organization that works to enhance bighorn sheep populations in the state of Montana. Our organization has spent numerous hours and dollars in the immediate vicinity of this project to provide a corridor and winter habitat for bighorn sheep.
Together with the Montana Department of Fish Wildlife and Parks (FWP) and other conservation organizations over $1,300,000 has been spent to purchase property to expand the Blue Eyed Nellie Wildlife Management Area in the past 5 years. These acquisitions have prevented residential development and insured over 1.5 miles of frontage along Montana Highway 1 provides a permanent corridor at the location of historical use by bighorn sheep, mule deer and other wildlife. The Public Lands map in Appendix A of the Environmental Scan is incomplete as it fails to show the lost 29 acre land purchase on the north side of Highway 1 that was purchased by FWP, MTWSF and other conservation groups. This purchase eliminated the last private ownership between Blue Eyed Nellie WMA and Highway 1. The current wildlife corridor near Mile Post 14.5 is located totally within land owned by the US Forest Service and the State of Montana to insure passage for wildlife in perpetuity.
While the bighorn sheep in the plan area are currently experiencing a die-off similar to the 1991 die-off the sheep numbers will improve and are expected to approach the predieoff numbers up to 300 sheep as they did in 1991 and 2009. The habitat is available due to past efforts of the community, wildlife enthusiasts and the State of Montana provided a wildlife crossing of Highway 1 can be maintained for the sheep. |
The potential usage of a wildlife underpass was reviewed within the scope of this planning study. The conclusion reached by the planning team was to advance a wildlife underpass near RP 14.5 as a long-term improvement option. A wildlife underpass would be evaluated further if project development activities are initiated. The corridor study report describes this in further detail under improvement option 6(c).

Since there is presently not enough data available to measure the success of wildlife underpasses specific to Bighorn Sheep, the recommendations contained in the corridor study report also set forth a range of wildlife mitigation measures to implement while conditions are monitored and more data becomes available regarding Bighorn Sheep usage of wildlife underpasses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|      | We appreciate the recent elimination of deiers on the highway in bighorn sheep areas and the flashing lights which warn motorists when bighorn sheep are near the highway. These are good short term solutions as long as everyone involved is proactive. During the past two weeks we had to request the flashing lights be activated because the sheep had moved onto the winter range next to the highway. As proven with the flashing lights on Montana Highway 200 near Thompson Falls tourists decrease their speed because they hope to see sheep while the locals ignore the signs because of their familiarity with the driving conditions. Again these are good short term solutions to the sheep on the highway. We agree the animal actuated flashing lights, designated wildlife crossings and 45 mph seasonal speed limits as proposed in the draft plan are a good attempt to protect motorists and animals if no other solutions are available. We believe the cost of these solutions, the partial effectiveness and the irritability of motorists with several miles of decreased speed limits require the department further evaluate grade separated crossings for bighorn sheep. The plan indicates an overpass for wildlife may not be effective and it would cost $1,250,000. Recent studies indicate overpasses for bighorn sheep do work when they are located along ridge lines traditionally used by wild sheep. The bighorn sheep cross Highway 1 in a level terrain area. A more effective solution for Highway 1 with less impact on the scenic corridor is the use of an underpass for bighorn sheep. The effectiveness of underpasses for bighorn sheep is verified by the following:

- A personal communication with Paul Krausman, Certified Wildlife Biologist, University of Montana indicates “I worked with desert bighorn sheep in the Southwest for years and did some work with underpasses. In my experience, those that worked were high (>3m) and relatively short (~ 35 m). They were also effective when associated with natural travel routes.”

- A personal communication with Jon Jorgenson with the Alberta government indicates “Just east of Banff National Park along the Trans Canada Highway near Canmore we have two wildlife underpass structures. One of these structures is used regularly by bighorn sheep. The structures were not built specifically for bighorn sheep rather they were intended to accommodate safe passage across the highway by all range of ungulates and carnivores. The sheep used to come down near to the highway to lick salt and they have continued to do so but are now using the crossing structure to access salt that drips down from the highway and reaches into the soil under the crossing structure. There is no longer any access to the highway surface because of exclusionary fencing. The sheep readily go under the highway via the structure and lick salt on the ground. They do not really use the structure to access range on both sides of the highway the structure has become a destination but they readily walk back and forth through it and spend time milling around in the underpass. The 2 underpasses are the same but only one gets regular use by sheep - the one not used much, is in an area where there is no history of sheep use near the highway.”

An Arizona study determined that rams readily used underpasses especially during the rut in a study "Evaluation of Distribution and Trans Highway Movement of Desert Bighorn Sheep Arizona Highway 68 (August 2008).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An Alberta study on bighorn sheep titled “Highway Research, Monitoring, and Adaptive Mitigation Study – Banff, Yoho &amp; Kootenai National Parks (March 31, 2003) indicates sheep use underpasses.</td>
<td>For planning and cost estimating purposes, the underpass was assumed to be 16 feet high, 45 feet wide and 60 feet long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An underpass with 3 meters of clearance and an invert 1 or more meters below the existing ground surface depending on water table levels and appropriate fencing would be much less intrusive than a wildlife overpass which would be more than 7 meters above the surrounding terrain. A low wildlife underpass would require some adjustments to the final road grade to accommodate visual sight distances and highway safety however the cost and visual impact would be much less than an overpass.</td>
<td>MT-1 is not an “officially” designated wildlife corridor. There are existing non-vehicular uses between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake. Federal and State transportation guidance requires all travel modes be evaluated in planning studies and project development activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The plan indicates fencing associated with a wildlife overpass would restrict some pedestrian movements in the area. We question the Montana Department of Transportation’s desire to increase pedestrian movements in a designated wildlife corridor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We appreciate your review of our comments and your reconsideration of the recommended improvements for the Final Corridor Study documents. Please contact us if we can provide any additional information as you finalize this study.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sincerely,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montana Wild Sheep Foundation,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|            | James Weatherly  
  Executive Director                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|            | Cc: Board of Directors  
  Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
Regardoing your study of possible improvements to MT 1 from Anaconda to Georgetown Lake, we believe that the number of bicyclists on the highway is steadily increasing every year. You might consider modestly widening the shoulder and/or striping the shoulder plus appropriate signage so as to achieve greater separation between bicycles and vehicles. There are also sections where there is a parallel right-of-way (road or former BA&P Railway) onto which bicyclists could be directed.

Submitter’s IP address: 70.242.135.93

Reference Number = picomment_74127197265625
Date of Comment
(Sender)

September 16, 2011
(Mr. & Mrs. Edward J. Lord)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 16, 2011</td>
<td>(Mr. &amp; Mrs. Edward J. Lord)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENT FORM**

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
Corridor Planning Study
Community Informational Meeting #1 — July 25, 2011

**MDT INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:**

1. Increase the speed limit — making the current through zone a 55 mph zone and the 35 mph zone a 45 mph zone.

2. Cut the brush in the area near the Saddle Club. Currently, it provides protection for deer & sheep to hide and then bound out onto the highway.

3. Create more passing lanes between the Anaconda city limits and Georgetown Lake.

---

Please mail or email your comments to:

Jeff Key, P.E.
Robert Peccia & Associates
PO Box 5653
Helena, MT 59604
jeff.key@rsa-hin.com

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>ADDRESS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79255-9761</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMAIL: 
PHONE: 

SEP 1 6 2011

Robert Peccia, Jr. - Associate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 16, 2011 (Joy Connors)</td>
<td>Joy Connors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Jeff,

Hello, my name is Joy Connors. I attended the meeting on July 25, 2011. I lived in the West Valley, about three miles west of Anaconda, the first 18 years of my life and I now live at 1295 Warm Springs Creek RD, which is at the bottom of Spring Hill. I have resided here for the last forty years. I am very familiar with this highway since I drive to Anaconda every day to work. My husband and I ride our mt. bikes a lot on the highway. Here are a few things I would like to see:

- Non-motorized bike and walking trail from Anaconda to Lime Quarry. I don’t know what the answer is for the people that want to fly up and down on ATVs. It is not safe to be driving over all the driveways, plus I don’t believe some of these kids are even legally old enough to be driving.

- Bike trail or bike lane from Lime Quarry to Georgetown Lake. There are many people who like to ride bikes on this highway and some places have no shoulder at all.

- 70 mph speed limit lowered to 60 mph. This busy, two way scenic highway has a lot of wildlife and driveways where people are turning off and onto the highway. I’m tired of people complaining about the 'speed trap'. I have seen too many terrible accidents and too much road-kill. Those that feel the need to drive faster should stay on the interstate highways.

- Street signs on the driveways. I guess changing everyone’s address was to make things less confusing for 911. I know of one instance where the ambulance could not find the address it was called to. This address was my 80 year old mother’s neighbor. This has me concerned. I have not moved for forty years, yet my address has changed four times. Does this make sense?

I hope things will be changed in the near future for everyone’s safety. There has been numerous studies conducted on this highway since the 70's, but not much done about it. Lowering the speed limits has helped some.

Thank you for taking the time to read my thoughts on this matter. See you at the next meeting.

Joy Connors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 8, 2011 (Peter Radonich)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENT FORM**

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake  
Corridor Planning Study  
Community Informational Meeting #1 – July 25, 2011

**MDT INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:**

In reconstructing this highway, consider installing the major sewer line (for future use) to alleviate reconstruction. The feasible place to install the line would be on the north side of the highway.

**RECEIVED**

AUG 2 2011  
Robert Peccia & Associates

Please mail or email your comments to:  
Jeff Key, P.E.  
Robert Peccia & Associates  
PO Box 5653  
Helena, MT 59604  
jeff.key@rpa-hn.com

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:  
NAME: Peter Helgeson Radonich  
ADDRESS: 210 Howe St  
Anaconda, MT 59711  
EMAIL: phd1m-tech.com  
PHONE: (406) 722-7613
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2, 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dick Verstraete)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sir,
A good meeting on July 25th. Many comments and ideas, most of which have merit. While funds will be in short supply, I think priority should be given to a frontage road from the city limits to almost the saddle club. This could eliminate most of the drives on the north side. Turn lanes for the south side would also be helpful. Without these changes, the 45 mile speed limit should stay in place. However, the 35 mph zone could be raised to 45.
I'm sure the stats show a reduction of wrecks and fatalities since the reduced speed limits were imposed several years ago.
Thank you,
Dick Verstraete (Georgetown Lake resident for 20+ years)
Date of Comment
(Sender)
July 29, 2011
(Harold Griffis)

Comment Identifier

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
Corridor Planning Study
Community Informational Meeting #1 – July 25, 2011

MDT INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:

- Animal Overpass for Sheep (or underpass)
- Curve for Deer - Along West Valley area
- Underpass 2.5 for 4 Kilometers
- Turnout - 2 miles W of Georgetown LR

I understand the Sheep Foundation has stated they will come up with money to help the cost of the underpass.

We fish good Georgetown LR - Seven Gables

Please mail or email your comments to:
Jeff Key, P.E.
Robert Perce & Associates
PO Box 5653
Helena, MT 59604

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:
NAME: Harold Griffis
ADDRESS: 7200 EK Hammer Dr.
Anaconda, MT 59711

RECEIVED
JUL 9 2011
Robert Perce & Associates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 2011 (Ed Silverstein)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENT FORM**

**MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake**  
**Corridor Planning Study**  
**Community Informational Meeting #1—July 25, 2011**

**MDT INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:**

- Higher speed limits into town slower speeds hurts G. T. Lake business. 
- No need for 35 mph vs 45 mph limits. 
- More passing lanes on way to G. T. Lake. 
- Turn lane at Silverlake.

Please mail or email your comments to:  
Jeff Key, P.E.  
Robert Peccia & Associates  
PO Box 5653  
Helena, MT 59604  
jeff.key@roa-hln.com

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:

- **NAME:** Ed Silverstein  
- **ADDRESS:** 270 Gunsmoke Ln, Aultman, MT 59701

EMAIL:  
PHONE: 

**MT-1 WEST OF ANACONDA TO GEORGETOWN LAKE**  
**CORRIDOR PLANNING STUDY**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 2011 (Rita Sorum)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENT FORM**

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study
Community Informational Meeting #1—July 25, 2011

**MDT INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:**

Living on MT 1 I feel the speed limit should not be raised. Wildlife and horses and people crossing the road faster is not better. What it takes 10 men 40 yr got to the lake.

Please mail or email your comments to:
Jeff Key, P.E.
Robert Peccia & Associates
PO Box 5633
Helena, MT 59004
jeff.key@rpa-hdm.com

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:

NAME: Rita Sorum
ADDRESS: 10237 MT Hwy 1
EMAIL: Hjufiht776@yahoocom
PHONE: 562-7467
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 2011 (Wilma Burke)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is my opinion that the main problem along this highway is the slow speed limit. I think from the west end of town the speed limit should be increased to at least 55 mph. Even in the area of concern at the 4 mile mark where the sheep come down 55 allows adequate time for vehicles to slow down and avoid animals if the driver is paying attention.

It is currently very annoying to have to drive between 35 and 45 mph for the 4-5 mile stretch of highway which begins at the west end of town. When going into Helena there are many houses that have driveways off the highway which has a 55 mph limit. The limit of 55 continues well into town and then decreases to 45. This makes sense and that pattern should be applied here.

Wilma Burke  
213 E 6th  
Anaconda, MT  
59711  
406-491-5261
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 2011 (Kristie Vauthier)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENT FORM**

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
Corridor Planning Study
Community Informational Meeting #1 — July 25, 2011

**MTD INVITES YOUR COMMENTS:**

- ACCESS TO PUBLIC LAND FROM THE SADDLE CLUB GOING IN BOTH DIRECTIONS (A HORSE - PEDESTRIANS, BIKES - MOTOR BIKES, & WHEELCHAIR)
- INFERIOR DAM NEEDS TO BE CLEANED UP SO THE WATER IS UNDER CONTROL IT WOULD ALLOW US TO CROSS THE HIGHWAY SAFELY AT THE SADDLE CLUB.
- THE TRAIL SYSTEM WOULD BE ABLE TO CONNECT WITH MANY TRAILS AVAILABLE ON CARRYN MOUNTAIN
- ANIMALS - FOR BEAVERS, RACOONS AND ALL OTHER WILD ANIMALS, AS WELL AS

Please correct or change the Anaconda Saddle Club address listed below.

To receive further study information, please provide your name and address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>Kristie Vauthier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>310 SADDLE CLUB DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAIL:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:svauthier@mt.gov">svauthier@mt.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE:</td>
<td>406-360-4910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We would like our driveway paved to the cattle guard.

* Would like to see a horse crossing.

* Speed needs to stay safe for everyone crossing.

* All the large animals use this area.
### Date of Comment (Sender) | Comment Identifier
--- | ---
**July 21, 2011 (Unknown)** |  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 2011 (Unknown)</td>
<td>-----Original Message-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From: <a href="mailto:www@mdt.mt.gov">www@mdt.mt.gov</a> [<a href="mailto:www@mdt.mt.gov">mailto:www@mdt.mt.gov</a>]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 12:41 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To: MDT Comments - Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subject: Comment on a Project Submitted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A question, comment or request has been submitted via the "Contact Us" web page.

**Action Item:** Comment on a Project

**Submitted:** 07/21/2011 12:40:42

**Project Commenting On:** Montana Highway 1 corridor west of Anaconda

**Project State Highway No.:** MT Hwy 1

**Nearest Town/City to Project:** Anaconda

**Project Milepost:** From Linden street to Georgetown Lake Road

**Comment or Question:**
A way to safely increase the speed limit immediately west of Anaconda should be implemented. The speed limit, as it stands currently, is ridiculously low.

Additionally, wildlife crossings and fencing should be constructed throughout the entirety of this stretch of highway, but especially along the area adjacent to the lime quarry where the bighorn sheep cross and winter. These animals are a national asset and are far more valuable than many realize. A project similar to the one constructed on Hwy 93 near Arlee should be completed.

**Submitter’s IP address:** 174.255.130.129

**Reference Number =** picomment_89447021484375
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Comment (Sender)</th>
<th>Comment Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 2011 (Jay Slocum)</td>
<td>Hello my name is Jay Slocum and I just reviewed your newsletter #1 and I am interested in your study, unfortunately I can’t attend your meeting next Tuesday. I would like to participate in public comment if you so desire my input. I have lived and worked in the area all my life and would be happy to help with your assessment in any way I can. Thanks for your time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jay Slocum  
Wildlife Biologist/GIS Specialist  
Water & Environmental Technologies  
480 East Park Street, Suite 200  
Butte, Montana 59701  
(406) 782-5220/(406) 533-8258-Cell  
(406) 723-1537-Fax  

Water & Environmental Technologies-Great Falls Office  
1321 8th Avenue North, Suite 103  
Great Falls, MT 59401  
(406) 761-2290
July 5, 2011

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

For more information:
Lori Ryan, Public Information, MDT, (406) 444-6821

Informational meeting to discuss
the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor
Planning Study – Deer Lodge County

Anaconda - The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT), in partnership with Anaconda Deer Lodge County (ADLC), have initiated the development of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study and will hold an informational meeting regarding this study. The meeting will be held on Monday, July 25, 2011 at Smitty’s Barn, 50 Theatre Lane (1.7 miles west of Anaconda on MT-1). The meeting will be an open house format starting with a presentation at 6:00 p.m., followed by a question and answer period. The purpose of the meeting is to inform the community on the corridor study scope and purpose, take questions, and solicit input on the existing conditions and the community’s vision, goals and concerns within the corridor.

The MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study includes those areas of MT-1 from reference post (RP) 10.06 (Linden Street/North Cable Road) and extending 17.3 miles west and north to RP 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). Map displays will be available at the meeting for viewing. The corridor study will determine feasible improvement options to address safety, geometric and environmental concerns of the transportation corridor based on needs presented by the community, study partners, and resource agencies.

Community participation is a very important part of the process, and citizens are encouraged to attend and participate. Opinion, comments and concerns may be submitted in writing at the meeting, by mail to Carol Strizich, MDT Statewide and Urban Planning, Project Manager, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT. 59620-1001, or online at

www.mdt.mt.gov/mdt/comment_form.shtml

Please indicate comments are for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study. MDT will collect and consider
all comments to better understand the community’s view of potential issues and concerns within the MT-1 corridor.

Future announcements will be made prior to all community events through the local media and the study mailing list. Interested parties are encouraged to join the study mailing list by submitting their name and contact information to Jeff Key at jeff.key@rpa-hln.com

A study website has been developed and can be accessed at

www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

MDT attempts to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person’s participation in any service, program or activity of our department. If you require reasonable accommodations to participate in this meeting, please call Jeff Key at (406) 447-5000 at least two days before the meeting. For the hearing impaired, the TTY number is (406) 444-7696 or 1-800-335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request.

-------END-------

Project name: MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study
Anaconda Deer Lodge County
The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) in partnership with Anaconda-Deer Lodge County (ADLC) have initiated the development of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study from reference post (RP) 10.06 (Linden Street/North Cable Road) extending approximately 17.3 miles west to RP 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The corridor study will determine feasible improvement options to address safety, geometric and environmental concerns of the transportation corridor based on needs presented by the community, study partners, and resource agencies. The purpose of the meeting is to inform the community on the corridor study scope and purpose, take questions, and solicit input on the existing conditions and the community’s vision, goals and concerns within the corridor.

The meeting is open to the public and the community is urged to attend. MDT attempts to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person’s participation in any service, program or activity of our department. If you require reasonable accommodations to participate in this meeting, please call Jeff Key at (406) 447-5000 at least two days before the meeting. For the hearing impaired, the TTY number is (406) 444-7696 or 1-800-335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request.

Comments may be submitted in writing at the meeting, by mail to Carol Strizich, MDT Statewide and Urban Planning, Project Manager, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT. 59620-1001, or online at www.mdt.mt.gov/mdt/comment_form.shtml

Please indicate comments are for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study. MDT will collect and consider all comments to better understand the community’s view of potential issues and concerns within the MT-1 corridor.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Randau</td>
<td>825 Custer, Helena MT 59601</td>
<td><a href="mailto:scott@mta-hel.com">scott@mta-hel.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine lux-Burt</td>
<td>369 Highpark Dr, Anaconda 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:elux-burt@anaconda.deerlodge.mt.gov">elux-burt@anaconda.deerlodge.mt.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dume &amp; Judy lanes</td>
<td>187 Lime Spur Rd, Anaconda 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jlanegrover@hotmail.com">jlanegrover@hotmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Vackovich</td>
<td>1205 W 3rd, Anaconda 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:genev@q.com">genev@q.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beely Gruey</td>
<td>800 Main St, Anaconda 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ceto@anaconda.deerlodge.mt.gov">ceto@anaconda.deerlodge.mt.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred &amp; Elvira</td>
<td>1016 W 4th St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Murray</td>
<td>704 N Cable Dr 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:m4murey@msn.com">m4murey@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Kerndy</td>
<td>20 Balsam 59711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Basinico</td>
<td>P.O. Box 995 Anaconda 59711</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kibasinico@gmail.com">kibasinico@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo &amp; Joanne Jense</td>
<td>16 Gagnon Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Jorgensen</td>
<td>601 W Third St, Anaconda 59711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Stike</td>
<td>1309 W 4th, Anaconda</td>
<td><a href="mailto:chucktoke2@msn.com">chucktoke2@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Graham</td>
<td>120 Mtn View Dr N</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dngraham@bresnan.net">dngraham@bresnan.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry Thomas</td>
<td>42 Cherry St 59711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>EMAIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Sturm</td>
<td>11 English Gulch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Vest</td>
<td>131 Lime Spur Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Walsh</td>
<td>MDT-Butte</td>
<td>walsh @ mot.gov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Cunnans</td>
<td>1295 Warm Springs Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Lord</td>
<td>4 Cattle Dr Philipsburg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Lord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilma Burke</td>
<td>213 E 6th Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Stacie Cunnans</td>
<td>226 Scalise Rd Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean &amp; Kris Smith</td>
<td>58 Ramsey Ln Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Phillip</td>
<td>35 Phillip Rd</td>
<td>homeCmahj.us</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Silvestein</td>
<td>270 Guns Smoking Ln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Silvestein</td>
<td>270 Guns Smoking Lane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyndi Thompson</td>
<td>109 Warren</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Verstraete</td>
<td>520 Wildlife Ln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Damschen</td>
<td>318 S. LIBERTY ST, ANACONDA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:damsche6@q.com">damsche6@q.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wally Volkovatz</td>
<td>511 1/2 E 3rd ST, ANA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Stafford</td>
<td>70300 160, Philadelphia</td>
<td><a href="mailto:patv@psbsewl.com">patv@psbsewl.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Stafford</td>
<td>412 Lincoln Ave, ERIE, PENNA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Foster</td>
<td>1618 N. CABLE RD,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Fisk Carson</td>
<td>944 TIMESOUR ROAD</td>
<td>LCHmiel. <a href="mailto:pat@601.com">pat@601.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad Goehanour</td>
<td>102 WARRAN ANA, MT</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carneyh@401.com">carneyh@401.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert C.</td>
<td>1405 Mountain View Dr. S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Davidson</td>
<td>118 C 7 &amp; KANA</td>
<td>james@<a href="mailto:dswain@gwsm1.com">dswain@gwsm1.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Hillpatrick</td>
<td>218 8th HELINA, MT 59601</td>
<td><a href="mailto:confitz@bresnan.net">confitz@bresnan.net</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Mary Jo Staley</td>
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<td><a href="mailto:mjs5533@bresnan.net">mjs5533@bresnan.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Trohlope</td>
<td>933 Harris Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Jamie</td>
<td>2610 Flood Blvd Butte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry &amp; Agnes Funston</td>
<td>102 Mt. View Dr. N. Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Griffis</td>
<td>1720 E. Havard Dr. Anaconda</td>
<td>handogris @ hotmail.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Smith</td>
<td>57 Smithville Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Lauther</td>
<td>81 Saddle Club Drive</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kvlauther@glacierbank.com">kvlauther@glacierbank.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rita Scarn</td>
<td>13327 MT Hwy 1</td>
<td>rita@<a href="mailto:rha76@yahoo.com">rha76@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<td>Mary Vet</td>
<td>131 Lime Spar Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Redonick</td>
<td>210 Howe, Anaconda</td>
<td><a href="mailto:phbb@witch.com">phbb@witch.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Texas Daniels</td>
<td>800 Main, Anaconda</td>
<td>nms.chedaho.edu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Grassbery</td>
<td>114 Mt. View Dr N.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bgrans3ph@aol.com">bgrans3ph@aol.com</a></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>C. Davis, P.A.</td>
<td>213 South 3rd St.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:martensonklair@gmail.com">martensonklair@gmail.com</a></td>
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<td>Tommy Gray</td>
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<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>
Welcome!

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
Corridor Planning Study

Community Informational Meeting #1
July 25, 2011
# MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study

## STUDY SCHEDULE

### WORK TASKS AND DESCRIPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Develop Scope and Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Project Management and Accounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Develop Community &amp; Stakeholder Information Plan &amp; Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Supplement Existing and Projected Conditions Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Identify Needs, Issues, Goals, and Screening Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Determine Improvement Options Advanced and Not Advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Recommend Improvement Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Prepare Corridor Study Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informational Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Agency Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Team Meetings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MISCELLANEOUS DELIVERABLES

- Community & Stakeholder Information Plan (CSIP)
- Corridor Study Website
- Supplemental Memo to the Existing & Projected Conditions Report
- List/Description of Corridor Transportation Deficiencies
- Maps of Known Environmentally Sensitive Areas
- Summary of Comments/Concerns by Resource Agencies
- List of Initial Avoidance Areas, Potential Mitigation Needs & Opportunities
- Project Newsletters - 2 total
- Press Releases/Advertisements
- List and Description of Corridor Needs, Issues and Goals
- List of Screening Criteria
- List and Description of the Range of Improvement Options
- Documentation of Analysis (Methods and Findings) of Improvements Options
- Documentation of Improvement Options Advanced & Not Advanced
- Package of Improvement Options and/or Options for Improving the Corridor
- List and Description of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Opportunities
- Corridor Study Report
- Statement of Purpose and Need
- Final List of Recommendations and Next Steps
- Meeting Agendas and Minutes
Looking north from North Cable Road intersection. RP 10.06

Looking north at Warm Springs Creek bridge. RP 10.36

Looking north at West Valley. RP 11.50

Looking north just past West Valley. RP 12.30

Looking north at 70mph transition area. RP 14.00

Looking north at the “Camel Humps”. RP 14.50
Looking north at 3-lane passing zone.
RP 19.21

Looking north at Silver Lake
RP 21.63

Looking north before Georgetown Lake Road.
RP 23.68

Looking north before Discovery Road.
RP 25.10

Looking north before campground turnoff.
RP 26.64

Looking north before Georgetown Lake Road.
RP 27.00
MT-1 Corridor Photos - 3

Looking south at Georgetown Lake Road
RP 27.52

Looking south at campground turnoff.
RP 27.10

Looking south along Georgetown Lake.
RP 26.13

Looking south at Discovery Road.
RP 25.67

Looking south along Georgetown Lake.
RP 25.40

Looking south at Grassy Point Boat Launch.
RP 25.00
Looking south before Georgetown Lake Road.
RP 24.55

Looking south before Silver Lake.
RP 23.36

Looking south at 3-lane passing zone.
RP 20.55

Looking south at the “Camel Humps”.
RP 16.11

Looking south before West Valley.
RP 13.20

Looking south at West Valley.
RP 12.57
Introduction

- Introduction of local officials
- Partners
  - ADLC
  - MDT
  - FHWA
- Planning team members in attendance
- Consultant team
Outline of this Evening’s Meeting

- What is a corridor planning study?
- Study area boundary
- Study schedule
- Identified stakeholders
- Existing conditions in the corridor
- Next steps & conclusion

What is a Corridor Planning Study?

- Pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Study
  - Not a NEPA/MEPA Study or Environmental Study
  - Not a Preliminary or Final Design Project
  - Not a Construction or Maintenance Project
  - Not a Right of Way Acquisition Project
- It is........
Corridor Study Approach

- Corridor studies:
  - Are a “high level scan”
  - Define transportation issues/areas of concern
  - Assess a broad range of options
  - Consider social, economic and environmental effects at an early stage
  - Provide a level of analysis that can support informed and sustainable decisions
  - Provide opportunities for early and continuous involvement

- Corridor study results
  - Identify and prioritize cost-effective and feasible strategies
  - Consider community concerns and values
  - Foster greater cooperation among agencies and other stakeholders
  - Determine concerns or constraints early and provides this information to the NEPA/MEPA process
  - Identify corridor management strategies
Goals and Purpose
MT1 Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Study

- Engage constituents early!
- Identify constraints
- Identify short-range and long-range improvements
- Develop planning level cost estimates
- Develop information and data to be forwarded into the environmental process if a project moves forward from the study
MT 1 Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Study Area Boundary

Study Schedule

Two (2) Community Meetings

Corridor Study Report by End of 2011
Community Involvement Activities

- Two community informational meetings
- Presentations and outreach to civic groups, stakeholders and land owners as warranted
- Study newsletters
- Website/toll free line
- Informal meetings

Identified Stakeholders

- Anaconda – Deer Lodge County
- ADLC Commissioners
- Western Federal Lands Highway Division (WFLHD)
- County Fire Departments and Emergency Medical Personnel
- Chief of Law Enforcement and Montana Highway Patrol
- Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
- US Forest Service
- Landowners in the Corridor
- Anaconda Chamber of Commerce
- Anaconda Saddle Club
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
- Georgetown Lake Landowners
- West Park Subdivision
- Anaconda Sportsman’s Club
- Trails users
MT-1 Corridor

Context

- Functionally classified as a Rural Minor Arterial
- Posted speeds vary between 25 mph and 70 mph
- Pintler Veterans’ Memorial Scenic Highway between Anaconda and Philipsburg
- Serves multiple uses
  - Local traffic
  - Recreational traffic
  - Thru traffic

MT-1 Corridor

Physical Characteristics

- Two-lane roadway (with westbound passing lane between RP 19.0 – RP 20.2)
- Single left-turn bay at Georgetown Lake Road (RP 24.15)
- 156 access points, with 80 occurring within first four miles (west of Anaconda)
- Constructed or improved at various times (as early as 1934 and as recently as 1995)
MT-1 Corridor
Traffic Data

- Ranges from 3800 vehicles per day (vpd) near Anaconda to 1300 vpd near Georgetown Lake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E of Haubrau Tavern Turnoff</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>3640</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>4140</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>3730</td>
<td>3790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mi W of Bridge Ln - RP 11</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>3830</td>
<td>3340</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>3480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W of Jones Ln - RP 13</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>2540</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W of MDT Gravel Stockpile - RP 15</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W of Anaconda - RP 17</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Silver Lake - RP 23</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year 2010 Volumes Highest Near Anaconda

MT-1 Corridor
Posted Speeds
MT-1 Corridor

Speed Data

- Speed data collected at four locations in June 2011
- Primary speed data factor for determining the validity of the posted speed limit is the 85th percentile speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Posted Speed Limit (mph)</th>
<th>ADT (vpd)</th>
<th>85th Percentile Speed (mph)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP 11.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3902</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 14.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 15.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 24.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicates greater than 5 mph difference

MT-1 Corridor

Level of Service

- Obtained from the MDT Congestion Management System
- Currently operating at LOS of B
  - Maneuvering within traffic stream is slightly restricted
  - General level of physical and psychological comfort provided to drivers is still high
  - Minor disruptions are still easily absorbed at this level
- LOS is forecasted to degrade to a C in five years
MT-1 Corridor
Right-of-Way

- Road is adjacent to private and public lands
- Right-of-way widths vary from 275 feet to as little as 80 feet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin RP</th>
<th>End RP</th>
<th>R/W Width (approx.)</th>
<th>Adjacent Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>200'</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>160'</td>
<td>Private and Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>180'</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>160'</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>180'</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>24.94</td>
<td>160' TO 275'</td>
<td>Private and Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.94</td>
<td>27.35</td>
<td>80' TO 240'</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MT-1 Corridor
Roadway Geometrics - Horizontal

- Corridor consists of both level and rolling terrain
- Four of eighteen horizontal curves do not meet current standards
  - RP 22.86
  - RP 23.185
  - RP 24.019
  - RP 27.077
MT-1 Corridor
Roadway Geometrics - Vertical

- Grades
  - Twelve areas have vertical grades greater than 3.0% (exceeds current standards)
  - Of the twelve, ten have grades greater than 4.0%

- Curves
  - Five curves do not meet current standards
  - Two curves do not meet current standards for stopping sight distance (SSD)

Approximate Location (RP) | Feature | Description | Comments
--- | --- | --- | ---
12.4 - 13.4 | Clear Zone | Cut slope with fallen rock | South side
13.9 - 14.2 | Clear Zone | Heavy vegetation | Area with high rate of animal crashes
16.4 | Slope | Steep fill slope | Noted fatality at this location
16.5 - 16.8 | Slope | Steep fill slope | 
21.1 - 21.4 | Slope | Cut slope with fallen rock | North Side
23.7 - 23.8 | Slope | Cut slope with fallen rock | North Side
23.9 - 23.9 | Slope | Cut slope with fallen rock | North Side
22.9 - 23.1 | Slope | Cut slope with fallen rock | North Side
24.2 | Horizontal Curve | Poor sight distance | Steep cut slope at Georgetown Lake Rd intersection
24.8 | Slope | Steep fill slope | Culvert location
25.0 | Slope | Sharp drop off into water | Signed “no parking” area by lake
25.0 - 25.3 | Horizontal Curve | Poor sight distance | Due to cut slope on north side
25.4 - 25.6 | Slope | Shoulder and side slope to water | 
25.5 | Slope / Intersection | Steep slope into water at intersection | Noted fatality at this location
25.9 | Bridge ends | Blunt concrete bridge ends | 
26.3 | Slope | Steep fill slope | Culvert location
26.2 - 26.8 | Slope | Steep fill slope | South side
MT-1 Corridor
Roadway Geometrics - Widths

- Determined from MDT’s 2011 Montana Road Log
  - Surface width, lane width, shoulder width, surfacing thickness, and base thickness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From (RP)</th>
<th>To (RP)</th>
<th>Lanes</th>
<th>Width (ft)</th>
<th>Thickness (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.050</td>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.076</td>
<td>10.202</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.202</td>
<td>10.496</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.496</td>
<td>10.565</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.565</td>
<td>19.066</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.066</td>
<td>20.246</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.246</td>
<td>24.148</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.148</td>
<td>26.851</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.851</td>
<td>27.350</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Widths Are Of Interest

MT-1 Corridor
Access Points

- Approximately 156 access points along the study corridor
- Average density of 9.02 accesses/mile
- First five miles west of Anaconda has highest density of (16.19 access/mile)
MT-1 Corridor

Bridge Crossings

- Two bridge crossings (RP 10.57 and RP 16.92)
- RP 10.57
  - Two-lane, three span concrete structure
  - 68.01’ long by 39.4’ wide
  - Built in 1990
- RP 16.92
  - Two-lane, single span concrete structure
  - 42.0’ long by 36.4’ wide
  - Built in 1930

Neither bridge is structurally deficient or functionally obsolete

MT-1 Corridor

Safety (Reported Crashes)

- For period between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2009
- 67 total reported crashes
  - Two fatal crashes (with 2 fatalities), 20 injury crashes and 45 property damage only crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MT-1 Corridor</th>
<th>Statewide Rural Average</th>
<th>Corridor Rates Less Than Statewide Average?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crash Rate (per MVM)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity Rate (per MVM)</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MVM = million-vehicle-miles
MT-1 Corridor
Safety (Reported Crashes)

- Predominant crash types are single vehicle crashes
- Analysis of crash data from the last 10 years, identified 4 crash clusters
  - Addressable trends will be considered in this study
  - Short-term and long-term

MT-1 Corridor
Safety (Wildlife/Vehicle)

- Whitetail Deer
- Bighorn Sheep
  - RP 14.7 – 15.7
  - Single incident resulted in 8 sheep deaths (RP 14.4)
- Moose
  - 9 carcasses collected between 2006 and 2010
MT-1 Corridor
Non-Motorized Facilities

- ADLC Trails Master Plan
  - Goal to provide a safe alternative mode of travel and connectivity between communities.
  - Strong desire to extend non-motorized facilities west of Anaconda to the West Valley area
  - Eventual full route of some type all the way to Georgetown Lake

MT-1 Corridor
Local Infrastructure Expansion

- Wastewater system Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) developed to address the wastewater system in Anaconda and the surrounding areas
- The West Valley Water and Sewer Feasibility Study (2000) suggests that Anaconda’s wastewater facilities could be expanded to serve the West Valley Area
- The Growth Policy recommends that a central wastewater system for West Valley be constructed
Environmental Resources

- Geographic Setting
- Land Ownership / 4(f)
- Soil Resources and Prime Farmland
- Water Resources
- Wetlands
- Floodplains and Floodways
- Montana FWP and WMA
- Hazardous Substances
- Air Quality
- Visual Resources
- Biological Resources
- Vegetation
- Cultural and Archaeological Resources
- Social

Resources in blue are discussed in PPT.
Wetlands

Visual Resources

- Landscape Character
- Visual Sensitivity
- Scenic Integrity
- Landscape Visibility
Biological Resources

- Fish and Wildlife
- Vegetation

Fish and Wildlife

General Fish and Wildlife

- Common Mammals
  - White-tail deer
  - Mule deer
  - Bighorn sheep
  - Black Bear
  - Elk
  - Moose
  - Mountain Lion
  - Coyote

- Amphibians and Reptiles
  - Columbia spotted frog
  - Rocky Mountain tailed frog
  - Long-toed salamander

- Birds
  - Bald Eagle
  - Golden Eagle
  - Migratory Song Birds and Raptors
Fish and Wildlife
Aquatic Resources

- Fisheries
  - Warm Springs Creek
  - Stumptown Pond
  - AMC Pond
  - Silver Lake
  - Georgetown Lake

- Fish Species
  - Brown trout
  - Longnose sucker
  - Mottled sculpin
  - Rainbow trout
  - Slimy sculpin
  - Brook trout
  - Bull trout
  - Mountain whitefish
  - Westslope cutthroat trout

Cultural and Archaeological Resources

- Historic Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>NRHP elig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Station</td>
<td>24DL425</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA &amp; P Spur</td>
<td>24DL426</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvey Cabin</td>
<td>24DL427</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Water System</td>
<td>24DL691</td>
<td>21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 35</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cultural and Archaeological Resources

Next Steps

- Continue study coordination and outreach
- Finalize existing and projected conditions report
- Continue analysis of transportation needs
- Identify potential improvement options
- Draft corridor study report
Conclusion

Questions, answers and/or comments?

Study website:  http://www.mdt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

Study newsletters:

Study contact:
Jeff Key, P.E.  Email: Jeff.key@rpa-hln.com
Robert Peccia and Associates  Tel: (406) 447-5000
825 Custer Avenue  Fax: (406) 447-5036
Helena, Montana  59604
COMMUNITY INFORMATIONAL MEETING #1 – MINUTES

Prepared For:

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Helena, Montana

Prepared By:

ROBERT PECcia & ASSOCIATES
Helena, Kalispell & Butte, Montana

Monday, July 25, 2011
5:30 PM – 7:30 PM
Smitty’s Barn, Anaconda, MT
Community Informational Meeting #1 – Minutes

Monday, July 25, 2011
5:30 PM – 7:30 PM
Smitty’s Barn, Anaconda, MT

INTRODUCTION

The first community informational meeting for the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study was held on Monday, July 25th, 2011, from 5:30 to 7:30 PM at Smitty’s Barn west of Anaconda. The purpose of the meeting was to inform the community on the purpose and scope of the corridor study, and solicit input on the existing conditions. The meeting was also to seek input on the community’s vision, goals and any concerns within the corridor. The following Planning Team members were present at the meeting:

- Jeff Ebert (MDT)
- Joe Walsh (MDT)
- Carol Strizich (MDT)
- Elaine Lux-Burt (ADLC)
- Connie Daniels (ADLC)
- Jeff Key (RPA)
- Scott Randall (RPA)

An open house was held from 5:30 PM to 6:00 PM. A presentation followed the open house at 6:05 PM. RPA’s project manager, Jeff Key, facilitated the meeting and gave the presentation. After the presentation a comment session was held. A total of 63 members of the community signed in at the meeting, with others present who did not sign in.

COMMENTS

A number of oral comments were made during and after the presentation. In addition, comment sheets were available for all members of the audience. A summary of the comments received during the meeting are presented below:

Animals

- Salt blocks should be used to keep the big horn sheep off of the road.
- Salt blocks may spread disease.
• An animal overpass (or underpass) should be considered.
• Wildlife fences should be installed.
• Are the effects of the pine beetle being taken into consideration?

**Geometrics**

• Speed limit should be increased in the 35 mph zone.
• Speed limit should be decreased near Georgetown Lake.
• Speeds need to be kept low for safety.
• Passing lanes should be constructed near the speed transition zones.
• Additional passing lanes should be considered in the corridor. It is difficult to make turns off of MT-1 because of the heavy truck travel on the highway. Left-turn and right-turn bays should be added.
• A center two-way turn lane (CTWTL) should be considered.
• The old railroad right-of-way is being used by ATV’s and motor bikes. This is creating safety issues.
• Don’t restrict four-wheeler / dirt bike activity.
• Non-traffic related recreation use should be controlled along the corridor.
• The new right-of-way is popular for non-motorized use.
• The ADLC Trails Plan has a design for a path along the new right-of-way.
• The grades on the approaches should be examined.
• There is illegal parking around the Pump Station.
• The access to Discovery Ski area needs to have better geometrics and control.
• Sight distance needs to be increased at the Denton’s Point turn-off.
• Better signage needs to be installed for the Fire Department. Access is provided at Theatre Lane and Hagen Lane.

**Safety**

• The asphalt millings provided by MDT to ADLC are a nuisance as they are stacked as a berm along several roadways – especially on Lime Spur Road.
• Have the locations of fatalities been looked at? Look at historic crash information farther back than the last 5 to 10 years.
• The access at the dam is a potential safety concern.
Pedestrian traffic across MT-1 to Georgetown Lake, near the campground access, is a concern.

Vegetation at the Saddle Club creates sight distance issues.

**Other**

- The Anaconda Saddle Club is on the Historic Registry.
- Are the graphs and graphics shown at this meeting available to the public?
  - They are on the project website
- What is the outlook on changes actually occurring?
- What are the future traffic projections?
  - 1% yearly growth rate was assumed.
- Population may have decreased in the county, but it has increased in the study area.
- The Forest Service and FWP should be involved in the Study.
- When will the Draft Study be available?
  - Anticipated in November.
- When is the best time to make comments?
  - The official “formal” comment period will be after the Draft document is made available. The “informal” comment period is open until that time, and all comments received will be considered in the process as warranted.

The meeting concluded at 7:30 PM.
October 7, 2011

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

For more information:
Lori Ryan, Public Information, MDT, (406) 444-6821

Informational meeting to discuss
the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor
Planning Study – Deer Lodge County

Anaconda - The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT), in partnership with Anaconda Deer Lodge County (ADLC), have initiated the development of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study and will hold an informational meeting regarding this study. The meeting will be held on Monday, November 7, 2011 at the Anaconda High School Little Theater Room, 400 Main Street. The meeting will consist of an open-house format: starting with an open house at 6:00 p.m., followed by the presentation at 6:15 pm, and concluding with a question and answer period. The purpose of the meeting is to present the Draft Study, discuss the recommended improvement options, take questions, and solicit input from the community.

The MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study includes those areas of MT-1 from reference post (RP) 10.06 (Linden Street/North Cable Road) and extending 17.3 miles west and north to RP 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). Map displays will be available at the meeting for viewing. The corridor study will determine feasible improvement options to address safety, geometric and environmental concerns of the transportation corridor based on needs presented by the community, study partners, and resource agencies.

Community participation is a very important part of the process, and citizens are encouraged to attend and participate. Opinion, comments and concerns may be submitted in writing at the meeting, by mail to Carol Strizich, MDT Statewide and Urban Planning, Project Manager, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT. 59620-1001, or online at

www.mdt.mt.gov/mtd/comment_form.shtml

Please indicate comments are for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study. MDT will collect and consider
all comments to better understand the community’s view of potential issues and concerns within the MT-1 corridor.

A study website has been developed and can be accessed at

www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

MDT attempts to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person’s participation in any service, program or activity of our department. If you require reasonable accommodations to participate in this meeting, please call Jeff Key at (406) 447-5000 at least two days before the meeting. For the hearing impaired, the TTY number is (406) 444-7696 or 1-800-335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request.

--------- END ---------

Project name: MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study
Anaconda Deer Lodge County
The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) in partnership with Anaconda-Deer Lodge County (ADLC) have initiated the development of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study from reference post (RP) 10.06 (Linden Street/North Cable Road) extending approximately 17.3 miles west to RP 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The corridor study identifies possible improvement options to address safety, geometric and environmental concerns of the transportation corridor based on needs presented by the community, study partners, and resource agencies and funding availability. The purpose of the meeting is to present the Draft Study, discuss the recommended improvement options, and solicit comments from the community.

The meeting is open to the public and the community is urged to attend. MDT attempts to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person's participation in any service, program or activity of our department. If you require reasonable accommodations to participate in this meeting, please call Jeff Key at (406) 447-5000 at least two days before the meeting. For the hearing impaired, the TTY number is (406) 444-7696 or 1-800-335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request.

Comments may be submitted in writing at the meeting, by mail to Carol Strizich, MDT Statewide and Urban Planning, Project Manager, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT. 59620-1001, or online at www.mdt.mt.gov/mdt/comment_form.shtml

Please indicate comments are for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study. MDT will collect and consider all comments to better understand the community's view of potential issues and concerns within the MT-1 corridor.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Strizich</td>
<td>MDT Planning - Helena</td>
<td><a href="mailto:CSTRIZICH@MT.GOV">CSTRIZICH@MT.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Bunt</td>
<td>369 Highpark Dr. - Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elanie Lux-Bunt</td>
<td>369 Highpark Dr. - Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Walsh</td>
<td>MDT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Baehr</td>
<td>Rd Box 587 Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Polkraft</td>
<td>1016 W. 4th St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Vuckovich</td>
<td>1205 W. 3rd Anaconda</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MT.5043@GMAIL.COM">MT.5043@GMAIL.COM</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Sturm</td>
<td>121 English Gulch Anaconda</td>
<td><a href="mailto:LSTURM@ANACONADESIGN.COM">LSTURM@ANACONADESIGN.COM</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorry Thomas</td>
<td>#2 Cherry Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Thomas</td>
<td>2 Cherry Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rita Sturm</td>
<td>13227 MT Hyw 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad Gochanour</td>
<td>102 Warden Ana, MT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome Jensen</td>
<td>5 Colefolds Ln. W. Ana, Mt.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:JERRYJENSEN62@MSN.COM">JERRYJENSEN62@MSN.COM</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd Schmidt</td>
<td>20 Duck Lake, Georgetown Lake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Randall</td>
<td>225 Custer, Helena, MT 59604</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ScottR@rpa-hln.com">ScottR@rpa-hln.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Nyman</td>
<td>520 Cedar</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rose.nyman1@gmail.com">rose.nyman1@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Stanton</td>
<td>225 Stucky Rock Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristie Yauthier</td>
<td>405 Poplar St</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kYAuthier@gleanbank.com">kYAuthier@gleanbank.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Bjorklund</td>
<td>48 Fir Tree Ln - Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Basirico</td>
<td>P.O. Box 995 - Anaconda</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kjbasirico@gmail.com">kjbasirico@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Horne</td>
<td>151 Wedgewood Ln, WF MT 59937</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rhorne@oppcum.net">rhorne@oppcum.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Fearns Ont.</td>
<td>800 Mtn St Blvd, MT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyndi Thompson</td>
<td>109 Warren Ave</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cyndi@bresnan.net">cyndi@bresnan.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Patton</td>
<td>Helena</td>
<td>FHPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>EMAIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Bader</td>
<td>300 Hickory St. Anaconda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Michaelotti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome!

MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake
Corridor Planning Study
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November 7, 2011
MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake

Corridor Planning Study

Community Informational Meeting No. 2
November 7th, 2011

Introduction

- Introduction of local officials
- Partners
  - ADLC
  - MDT
  - FHWA
- Planning team members in attendance
- Consultant team
Outline of Presentation

- Draft Corridor Planning Study
- Planning Process
- Needs and Objectives
- Recommended Improvement Options
- Next Steps
- Funding Sources

Draft Corridor Planning Study

- Draft is available for review at:
  - MDT Statewide and Urban Planning (Helena, MT)
  - MDT Butte District Office (Butte, MT)
  - ADLC Planning Department (Anaconda, MT)
  - Online: [www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1](http://www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1)

Deadline for comments is November 25th, 2011
Planning Process

- Environmental Scan
- Existing and Future Roadway Conditions
- Corridor Issues and Areas of Concern
- Consultation and Coordination
- Needs and Objectives
- Corridor Improvement Options
- Potential Funding Mechanisms

Needs and Objectives

Need #1
Improve Safety and Operation of MT-1 Through the Corridor Planning Study Area.

Objectives
- Improve geometric elements to meet current MDT design criteria.
- Accommodate existing and future capacity demands within the corridor.
- Minimize impacts caused by access density.
- Identify appropriate speeds within the study area.
- Provide adequate clear zones to meet current MDT design criteria.
- Review and implement innovative maintenance practices.
Needs and Objectives

Need #2
Preserve the Environmental, Scenic and Recreational Nature of the Corridor and Promote Wildlife and Aquatic Connectivity.

Objectives
- Preserve the scenic nature of the corridor with respect to view sheds and landscape features.
- Avoid and minimize the environmental resource impacts of improvement options.
- Evaluate and incorporate “best practice” mitigation strategies to promote wildlife connectivity across MT-1.
- Evaluate and incorporate “best practice” mitigation strategies to reduce animal-vehicle conflicts.
- Evaluate fish (aquatic organism) passage issues and incorporate appropriate solutions to improve aquatic connectivity and stream function through structures and culverts.

Need #3
Coordinate with Local Planning Efforts and Minimize Conflicts Along the Corridor.

Objectives
- Coordinate future infrastructure needs with ADLC.
- Support local planning efforts.
- Minimize impacts to existing residences and businesses along the corridor.
- Consider all modes of transportation.
Recommended Improvements

- 15 Short-Term
- 12 Mid-Term
- 10 Long-Term

### Recommended Improvements (Short-Term)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>IMPROVEMENT OPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(a)</td>
<td>Street Signing</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install street signs consistent with recent 911 routing</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(b)</td>
<td>Scenic Highway Designation</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install signage designating the MT-1 corridor as the 'Pintler Veterans' Memorial Scenic Highway</td>
<td>$750 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(c)</td>
<td>Fire Department Signing</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install signage for the West Valley Fire Department</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(a)</td>
<td>Wildlife Signing</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install signage of potential wildlife conflicts.</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vegetation Management Plan</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Prepare Vegetative Management Plan</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seasonal Speed Reduction</td>
<td>14.3 - 15.3</td>
<td>Continue seasonal speed reduction</td>
<td>LABOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(a)</td>
<td>Lime Spur Road Intersection - Advance Warning Signs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Install advance intersection warning signs</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(a)</td>
<td>Spring Hill Road Intersection - Advance Warning Signs</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>Install advance intersection warning signs</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(a)</td>
<td>Rockfall Maintenance</td>
<td>21.1 - 23.1</td>
<td>Increase maintenance efforts to remove and clear rocks within the roadside clear zone.</td>
<td>LABOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Horizontal Curve Signing</td>
<td>22.9 - 23.2</td>
<td>Sign curve for 55 mph advisory speed</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(a)</td>
<td>Horizontal Curve Signing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Sign curve for 55 mph advisory speed</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(b)</td>
<td>Denton Point Road Intersection - Advance Warning Signs</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>Install advance intersection warning signs</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16(a)</td>
<td>Discovery Road Intersection - Advance Warning Signs</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>Install advance intersection warning signs</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Horizontal Curve Signing</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>Sign curve for 55 mph advisory speed</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19(b)</td>
<td>Georgetown Lake Road Intersection - Advance Warning Signs</td>
<td>27.35</td>
<td>Install advance intersection warning signs</td>
<td>$500 EA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommended Improvements**

1(a) & 1(b) – Street and Scenic Highway Signing

- Corridor-wide recommendation
- Install street signs consistent with 911 routing
  - Improved 911 response times
  - Improved safety
  - No impacts identified
- Install scenic highway signing

**Recommended Improvements**

4 – Vegetation Management Plan

- Corridor-wide recommendation
- Develop a *Vegetation Management Plan* for the corridor
  - Increased roadside clear zones
  - Improved sight distances
  - Potential impacts to wildlife & aquatic habitat/connectivity
Recommended Improvements (Mid-Term)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>IMPROVEMENT OPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2(b)</td>
<td>Animal Detection System</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install animal detection system</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(1)</td>
<td>Wildlife Fencing</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Install wildlife fencing</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Access Control Plan</td>
<td>Corridor-Wide</td>
<td>Develop an Access Control Plan for the MT-1 corridor.</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(6)</td>
<td>Lime Spur Road Intersection - Realignment</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Realign and pave south approach leg</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(7)</td>
<td>Lime Spur Road Intersection - Left-Turn Lane</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Install westbound left-turn lane</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(b)</td>
<td>Spring Hill Road Intersection - Realignment</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>Realign and pave south approach leg</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(0)</td>
<td>Rock Fall Protection Netting</td>
<td>21.1 - 23.1</td>
<td>Rock Netting</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Guardrail</td>
<td>24.8 - 26.8</td>
<td>Install guardrail</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14(b)</td>
<td>Discovery Road Intersection - Realignment</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>Realign Intersection</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16(b)</td>
<td>Discovery Road Intersection - Right-Turn Lane</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>Install northbound right-turn lane</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Concrete Bridge Ends</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>Install guardrail around bridge ends</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19(c)</td>
<td>Georgetown Lake Road Intersection - Left-Turn Lane</td>
<td>27.35</td>
<td>Install northbound left-turn lane</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended Improvements

2(b) - Animal Detection System

- Corridor-wide recommendation
- Install animal detection systems
  - Increased driver awareness
  - Reduced animal-vehicle collisions
  - Doesn’t change animal behavior
Recommended Improvements
7(c) - Lime Spur Road Intersection

- Install a westbound left-turn on MT-1 at Lime Spur Road
  - Improved safety
  - Requires minimal roadway reconstruction along MT-1
  - Additional right-of-way may be needed

Recommended Improvements
16(c) - Discovery Road Intersection

- Install a northbound right-turn lane on MT-1 at Discovery Road
  - Improved safety
  - Requires minimal roadway reconstruction along MT-1
  - Potential slope issues along the edge of roadway
### Recommended Improvements (Long-Term)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>IMPROVEMENT OPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5(a)</td>
<td>Urban Interface – Typical Section #1</td>
<td>10.06 - 13.8</td>
<td>Reconstruct roadway to incorporate a TWLTL, frontage road, and multi-use path</td>
<td>$9,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(b)</td>
<td>Vertical Curve Flattening</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>Flatten vertical curve</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(a)</td>
<td>At-Grade Wildlife Crossing and Signage</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>Install permanent variable message signs</td>
<td>$100,000 EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vertical Curve Flattening</td>
<td>15.3 - 15.8</td>
<td>Flatten vertical curves</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(a)</td>
<td>Vertical Curve Flattening</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>Flatten vertical curve</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(b)</td>
<td>Flatten Cut Slopes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Flatten cut slopes</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(c)</td>
<td>Denton Point Road Intersection - Flatten Approach</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>Flatten approach leg</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Roadway Widening</td>
<td>24.3 - 27.35</td>
<td>Resurface and widen to a minimum of 12'</td>
<td>$3,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Flatten Cut Slopes</td>
<td>25.0 - 29.3</td>
<td>Flatten cut slopes</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18(a)</td>
<td>Vertical Curve Flattening</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>Flatten vertical curve</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Recommended Improvements

**5(a) – Urban Interface Typical Section**

- Between RP 10.06 and RP 13.8
- Reconstruct to Typical Section #1 – TWLTL with Frontage Road
  - Center TWLTL to accommodate westbound and eastbound left turning traffic
Recommended Improvements
5(a) – Urban Interface Typical Section

- Typical Section (continued)
  - Future development of frontage road on north side
  - Potential consolidation and/or closure of numerous private approaches
  - Accommodates ADLC plans for wastewater facility extension
  - Accommodates ADLC plans for a multi-use trail

- Potential for right-turn lanes at major access points
- Pedestrian signage and crossing opportunities

- After installation of TWLTL
  - Recommended 35 mph posted speed limit be increased to 45 mph (with appropriate transitions)
Recommended Improvements
5(a) – Urban Interface Typical Section

- Speed limit can only be raised by petition of the ADLC Commissioners to the Montana Transportation Commission
- Only if future improvements are in place
- Frontage road on the north side may not be necessary unless development occurs

Recommended Improvements
5(a) – Urban Interface Typical Section

- Benefits
  - Increased safety due to left-turning traffic being removed from the traffic stream
  - Enhanced multi-modal accommodations
  - Potential for reduction of approaches to reduce conflict points
  - Increased speed limit correlates closer to driver expectation
  - Reduction in speed variability
Recommended Improvements
5(a) – Urban Interface Typical Section

- Potential Impacts
  - Elimination or consolidation of approaches
  - Construction activities may result in the removal of vegetation used by wildlife
  - Potential wetland mitigation required
  - 4(f) property present (BA&P Spur)

Next Steps

- Finalize Corridor Planning Study
  - December 2011
- Project(s) Development
  - Identify and secure a funding source(s)
  - Follow MDT guidelines for project nomination and development, including public involvement process and environmental documentation
Eligible Funding Sources

- Federal Funds
- State Funds
- Local Funds
- Private Funds

Comments on Draft Report

Comments due in writing by 5:00 pm on November 25

- Comments may be sent to:
  - Jeff Key, PE
    Robert Peccia and Associates
    825 Custer Avenue
    Helena, MT 59604
    Email: Jeff.Key@rpa-hln.com
    Tel: (406) 447-5000
    Fax: (406) 447-5036
  - Carol Strizich
    MDT Statewide & Planning Section
    PO Box 201001
    Helena, MT 59620-1001
    Email: cstrizich@mt.gov
    Tel: (406) 444-9240
    Fax: (406) 444-7671

- Comments may be submitted online at:
  www.mdt.mt.gov/mdt/comment_form.shtml
Conclusion

- Questions, Answers, and/or Comments?
  - Study Website: [www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1](http://www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1)
  - Study Newsletters
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INTRODUCTION

The second community informational meeting for the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study was held on Monday, November 7th, 2011, from 6:00 to 8:00 PM at the Anaconda High School. The purpose of the meeting was to present the Draft Corridor Planning Study, discuss recommendations, and solicit input and hear comments.

The following Planning Team members were present at the meeting:

- Jeff Ebert (MDT)
- Joe Walsh (MDT)
- Carol Strizich (MDT)
- Jeff Patten (FHWA)
- Elaine Lux-Burt (ADLC)
- Connie Terns-Daniels (ADLC)
- Bob Horne (ADLC)

An open house was held from 6:00 PM to 6:15 PM. A presentation followed the open house at 6:15 PM. RPA’s project manager, Jeff Key, facilitated the meeting and gave the presentation with assistance from Scott Randall, RPA’s transportation engineer. A comment session was held after the presentation. A total of 26 members of the community signed in at the meeting. Others were present who did not sign in, bringing the estimated total in attendance to 31 individuals.

COMMENTS

A number of verbal comments were made during the open house and after the presentation. In addition, comment sheets were available for all members of the audience. A summary of the comments received during the meeting are presented below:

- Cable Road traffic should be examined to determine if the route is being used, or could be used, as an alternate route to MT-1 between Anaconda and Lime Spur Road.
- Maintenance on Cable Road should be improved.
Increased maintenance would allow Cable Road to carry additional traffic and reduce the number of vehicles on MT-1.

- There is an existing frontage road in West Valley that should be incorporated into the Urban Interface recommended improvement option.
- A four-lane typical section should be incorporated in the “Urban Interface” section (first 4 miles).
  - A four-lane roadway would allow for additional passing opportunities.
- A wildlife underpass / overpass should be included as a recommendation.
  - Partnerships should be explored to help develop the wildlife underpass / overpass.
  - Existing Montana wildlife underpasses and overpasses should be examined to determine the effectiveness on reducing animal-vehicle collisions.
  - A more aggressive approach to developing a wildlife underpass / overpass should be taken instead of trying interim measures. Something needs to be done about the issues now.
  - If it takes 5 – 7 years before funding for a major project can be identified then the need for a wildlife underpass / overpass should be identified now.
- A multi-modal path should be examined near the Seven Gables Resort (Discovery Road).
- Near the Seven Gable Resort (Discovery Road), the old highway / power line route should be opened to ATV’s and snowmobiles. It is currently fenced off.
- A southbound left-turn lane should be added as a recommended improvement option along MT-1 at the intersection with Discovery Road.
- Non-motorized use should be removed from the MT-1 right-of-way throughout the urban interface.
  - A multi-modal path should be developed along adjacent roads (i.e. Cable Road and Stumptown Road) not along MT-1.
- The existing speed limit through the urban interface should not be changed.

The meeting concluded at 8:00 PM.

---

Meeting minutes are intended to capture the general content of meeting discussions. Meeting minutes may include opinions provided by attendees; no guarantees are made as to the accuracy of these statements and no fact checking of specific statements is provided or implied from the publishing of final meeting minutes.
The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT), in partnership with Anaconda – Deer Lodge County (ADLC), has initiated the development of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study. The purpose of the Study is to determine financially feasible improvement options to address safety, environmental, and geometrical concerns of the transportation corridor based on needs presented by the community, the study partners, and resource agencies.

MT-1 is functionally classified as a rural minor arterial on the Principal Highway System and is designated as a State Primary Route. The study area is established along MT-1 from Reference Post (RP) 10.06 (Linden Street / North Cable Road) extending approximately 17.3 miles west to RP 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road).

What is a Corridor Planning Study?

A corridor planning study is an effort that involves early communication with interested parties to help identify needs, constraints and opportunities for a corridor and to help determine if there are implementable improvements given available resources and local support.

The overriding goal for the study is to analyze existing data to determine current and future issues and needs within the corridor, and identify potential mitigation opportunities if projects are identified to move forward.

A Corridor Planning Study is NOT:

✦ A NEPA Study or Environmental Study
✦ A Preliminary or Final Design Project
✦ A Construction or Maintenance Project

MDT has established several steps that will be followed to produce an effective Study. These include:

✦ Complete environmental scan
✦ Establish existing conditions and projected conditions
✦ Identify needs, issues, goals, and screening criteria
✦ Determine and evaluate improvement options
✦ Recommend improvement options
✦ Prepare draft report
✦ Proactive community outreach throughout
Community Involvement Opportunities

Community involvement is important to any successful corridor study process. The purpose of community involvement is to ensure a proactive process that provides opportunities for the community to be involved in all phases of the corridor study. The community is invited to participate in the process through community informational meetings and ongoing study information review and input.

A study website (www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1) has been developed to provide online opportunities to comment on the MT-1 corridor study effort. Dates, times, and locations for all community outreach events will be announced prior to the events through local media and the study mailing list.

The study team will collect and consider all community comments received to better understand the community view of potential issues. Those with a specific interest in the study are encouraged to join the study mailing list. They can do so by submitting their name and contact information to Jeff Key at jeff.key@rpa-hln.com.

Two community informational meetings will be held over the course of the study. The first community informational meeting is scheduled for Monday, July 25, 2011 from 6-8 PM at Smitty’s Barn (50 Theatre Lane, Anaconda, MT). The community is welcome and encouraged to attend.

Check the local media and study website periodically for information relating to the time and location of future community meetings and other community outreach events.
Study Area

The termini of the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study is between Reference Post (RP) 10.06 on the west end of Anaconda and RP 27.35 (the intersection of MT-1 and Georgetown Lake Road).

The study area generally includes a 0.5-mile buffer on each side of MT-1, except the first four miles west of Anaconda which includes a one-mile buffer each side of the road. The study area location is shown in the figure below.

Study Area Boundary

Community Informational Meeting #1

Monday, July 25, 2011
6:00 PM—8:00 PM
Smitty’s Barn
50 Theatre Lane, Anaconda, MT

The community is welcome and encouraged to attend. We hope to see you there!
The MT-1 Corridor Planning Study will be developed over a 9 month period. A final document and study completion date is expected at the end of 2011.

Check out the Study website at: www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1

**Corridor Planning Study Schedule**

The study schedule for the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study is shown below.
The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) in cooperation with Anaconda – Deer Lodge County (ADLC) initiated a Corridor Planning Study along MT-1 west of Anaconda, Montana, to identify and assess improvement options for the 17.3 mile segment, from North Cable Road / Linden Street to Georgetown Lake Road.

The Corridor Planning Study, intended strictly as a planning study and not a design project, was developed through a collaborative process with MDT, ADLC, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and involved focused outreach to the community, key stakeholders, and resource agencies. A full evaluation of known and publically available resource information was conducted. Activities that were completed for the development of the study include the following:

- Research and analysis of existing MT-1 roadway conditions;
- Research and analysis of known environmental resources;
- Identification and documentation of future conditions;
- Identification and evaluation of corridor issues and areas of concern;
- Consultation and coordination with local officials, stakeholders, resource agencies, and the community;
- Identification of corridor needs and objectives;
- Development of corridor improvement options with consideration to costs, available funding, feasibility, community input, and known environmental resource constraints; and
- Documentation of potential funding mechanisms for improvement options.
NEEDS AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE CORRIDOR PLANNING STUDY

Based on the analyses of existing and future conditions within the MT-1 study area, the following needs and objectives were established for use in the development of improvement options identified in the study.

NEED #1: IMPROVE SAFETY AND OPERATION OF MT-1 THROUGH THE CORRIDOR PLANNING STUDY AREA.
- Improve geometric elements to meet current MDT design criteria.
- Accommodate existing and future capacity demands within the corridor.
- Minimize impacts caused by access density.
- Identify appropriate speeds within the study area.
- Provide adequate clear zones to meet current MDT design criteria.
- Review and implement innovative maintenance practices.

NEED #2: PRESERVE THE ENVIRONMENTAL, SCENIC AND RECREATIONAL NATURE OF THE CORRIDOR AND PROMOTE WILDLIFE AND AQUATIC CONNECTIVITY.
- Preserve the scenic nature of the corridor with respect to view sheds and landscape features.
- Avoid and minimize the environmental resource impacts of improvement options.
- Evaluate and incorporate “best practice” mitigation strategies to promote wildlife connectivity across MT-1.
- Evaluate and incorporate “best practice” mitigation strategies to reduce animal-vehicle conflicts.
- Evaluate fish (aquatic organism) passage issues and incorporate appropriate solutions to improve aquatic connectivity and stream function through structures and culverts.

NEED #3: COORDINATE WITH LOCAL PLANNING EFFORTS AND MINIMIZE CONFLICTS ALONG THE CORRIDOR.
- Coordinate future infrastructure needs with ADLC.
- Support local planning efforts.
- Minimize impacts to existing residences and businesses along the corridor.
- Consider all modes of transportation.

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS

Based on the assessment of existing conditions within the study area and on community and stakeholder input, roadway issues and areas of concern were identified including existing roadway geometrics (widths, steepness of road, sight distance at intersections, etc.), wildlife conflicts, vehicle speeds and speed limits, access density, and alternate use facilities. Improvement options were identified to address the identified roadway issues and areas of concern. These recommended improvement options are shown graphically on Page 3. The typical section below shows the recommended improvement for MT-1 between RP 10.06 and RP 13.8.
ADLC, MDT, and RPA attempt to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person participating in any service, program, or activity associated with this study. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request.

For further information, call (406) 447-5000 or TTY (800) 335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711.

Accommodation requests must be made at least 48 hours prior to the scheduled activity and / or meeting.

---

**FINAL COMMUNITY INFORMATIONAL MEETING**

**Monday, November 7, 2011**

**6:00 PM—8:00 PM (Presentation 6:15—7:00)**

Anaconda High School, Little Theater Room
400 Main Street, Anaconda, MT

The community is welcome and encouraged to attend.
We hope to see you there!

---

**NEXT STEPS**

After the public comment period closes the Corridor Planning Study will be finalized.

The ability to implement improvement options for MT-1 is dependent on the availability of existing and future federal, state, local, and private funding sources. At the current time there is no funding identified to complete the recommended improvement options contained in the study. To continue with the development of a project (or projects) the following steps are needed:

- Identify and secure a funding source or sources;
- Initiate preliminary engineering activities;
- Finalize design and prepare construction plans package; and
- Let construction contract.

---

**INPUT WANTED**

The Draft Corridor Planning Study will be made available for review and comment on **November 4, 2011**. Copies can be accessed via the study website at [www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1](http://www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1).

Hard copies will also be made available at MDT offices and the Anaconda—Deer Lodge County planning department.

The deadline for receiving comments is **November 25, 2011**.

Comments may be submitted in writing at the Community Informational Meeting, by mail to **Carol Strizich**, MDT Statewide and Urban Planning, Project Manager, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT. 59620-1001, or online via the study website.

Please indicate comments are for the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study. MDT will collect and consider all comments to better understand the community’s view of potential issues and concerns within the MT-1 corridor.
June 28, 2011

Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/Section 7 Coordinator
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
585 Shepard Way
Helena, MT 59601

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
Joe Walsh, MDT
Dustin Rouse, MDT
Lynn Zanto, MDT
Jim Skinner, MDT
Zia Kazimi, MDT
Carol Strizich, MDT
Jean Riley, MDT
Barry Brosten, MDT
Deb Wambach, MDT
Wayne Noem, MDT
Brian Andersen, MDT
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
Jeff Patten, FHWA
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Todd Tillinger, Montana Program Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Helena Regulatory Office
10 West 15th Street, Suite 2200
Helena, MT 59626

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

We have identified Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The
agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
     Joe Walsh, MDT
     Dustin Rouse, MDT
     Lynn Zanto, MDT
     Jim Skinner, MDT
     Zia Kazimi, MDT
     Carol Strizich, MDT
     Jean Riley, MDT
     Barry Brosten, MDT
     Deb Wambach, MDT
     Wayne Noem, MDT
     Brian Andersen, MDT
     Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
     Jeff Patten, FHWA
     Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
     Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Robert Ray  
Montana Department of Environmental Quality  
1520 East Sixth Ave  
PO Box 200901  
Helena, MT 59620-0901

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The
agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
    Joe Walsh, MDT
    Dustin Rouse, MDT
    Lynn Zanto, MDT
    Jim Skinner, MDT
    Zia Kazimi, MDT
    Carol Strizich, MDT
    Jean Riley, MDT
    Barry Brosten, MDT
    Deb Wambach, MDT
    Wayne Noem, MDT
    Brian Andersen, MDT
    Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
    Jeff Patten, FHWA
    Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
    Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
    File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Jeff Ryan
Montana Department of Environmental Quality
1420 East Sixth Ave
PO Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620-0901

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The
agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
    Joe Walsh, MDT
    Dustin Rouse, MDT
    Lynn Zanto, MDT
    Jim Skinner, MDT
    Zia Kazimi, MDT
    Carol Strizich, MDT
    Jean Riley, MDT
    Barry Brosten, MDT
    Deb Wambach, MDT
    Wayne Noem, MDT
    Brian Andersen, MDT
    Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
    Jeff Patten, FHWA
    Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
    Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
    Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
    File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Tony Liane
DNRC- Southwest Land Office
1401 27th Ave.
Missoula, MT 59804

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:
Jeff Ebert, MDT
Joe Walsh, MDT
Dustin Rouse, MDT
Lynn Zanto, MDT
Jim Skinner, MDT
Zia Kazimi, MDT
Carol Strizich, MDT
Jean Riley, MDT
Barry Brosten, MDT
Deb Wambach, MDT
Brian Andersen, MDT
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
Jeff Patten, FHWA
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:
Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Fred Staedler
DNRC - Anaconda Unit Office
7916 Highway 1 West
Anaconda, MT 59711

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolvemepta/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolvemepta/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
Joe Walsh, MDT
Dustin Rouse, MDT
Lynn Zanto, MDT
Jim Skinner, MDT
Zia Kazimi, MDT
Carol Strizich, MDT
Jean Riley, MDT
Barry Brosten, MDT
Deb Wambach, MDT
Brian Andersen, MDT
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
Jeff Patten, FHWA
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Patrick Saffel, Fisheries Manager
FWP - Region 2
3201 Spurgin Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:  Jeff Ebert, MDT
       Joe Walsh, MDT
       Dustin Rouse, MDT
       Lynn Zanto, MDT
       Jim Skinner, MDT
       Zia Kazimi, MDT
       Carol Strizich, MDT
       Jean Riley, MDT
       Barry Brosten, MDT
       Deb Wambach, MDT
       Brian Andersen, MDT
       Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
       Jeff Patten, FHWA
       Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
       Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator
FWP - Region 2
3201 Spurgin Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin  
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT  
Joe Walsh, MDT  
Dustin Rouse, MDT  
Lynn Zanto, MDT  
Jim Skinner, MDT  
Zia Kazimi, MDT  
Carol Strizich, MDT  
Jean Riley, MDT  
Barry Brosten, MDT  
Deb Wambach, MDT  
Brian Andersen, MDT  
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA  
Jeff Patten, FHWA  
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates  
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency  
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service  
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers  
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office  
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office  
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Michael Thompson, Wildlife Manager
FWP - Region 2
3201 Spurgin Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin  
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:  
Jeff Ebert, MDT  
Joe Walsh, MDT  
Dustin Rouse, MDT  
Lynn Zanto, MDT  
Jim Skinner, MDT  
Zia Kazimi, MDT  
Carol Strizich, MDT  
Jean Riley, MDT  
Barry Brosten, MDT  
Deb Wambach, MDT  
Brian Andersen, MDT  
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA  
Jeff Patten, FHWA  
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates  
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency  
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service  
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers  
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office  
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office  
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator
FWP – Region 2
1420 East Sixth Avenue
P.O. Box 200701
Helena, MT 59620-0701

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The
agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
      Joe Walsh, MDT
      Dustin Rouse, MDT
      Lynn Zanto, MDT
      Jim Skinner, MDT
      Zia Kazimi, MDT
      Carol Strizich, MDT
      Jean Riley, MDT
      Barry Brosten, MDT
      Deb Wambach, MDT
      Wayne Noem, MDT
      Brian Andersen, MDT
      Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
      Jeff Patten, FHWA
      Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
      Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
      File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist
FWP - Region 2
P.O. Box 881
Deer Lodge MT  59722

Subject:           Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin  
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:  Jeff Ebert, MDT  
       Joe Walsh, MDT  
       Dustin Rouse, MDT  
       Lynn Zanto, MDT  
       Jim Skinner, MDT  
       Zia Kazimi, MDT  
       Carol Strizich, MDT  
       Jean Riley, MDT  
       Barry Brosten, MDT  
       Deb Wambach, MDT  
       Brian Andersen, MDT  
       Bob Burkhardt, FHWA  
       Jeff Patten, FHWA  
       Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
       Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
       Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County  
       Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates  
       Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency  
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service  
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers  
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office  
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office  
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality  
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2  
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist
FWP - Region 2
P.O. Box 1066
Philipsburg, MT  59858

Subject:  Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The
agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:  Jeff Ebert, MDT
       Joe Walsh, MDT
       Dustin Rouse, MDT
       Lynn Zanto, MDT
       Jim Skinner, MDT
       Zia Kazimi, MDT
       Carol Strizich, MDT
       Jean Riley, MDT
       Barry Brosten, MDT
       Deb Wambach, MDT
       Brian Andersen, MDT
       Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
       Jeff Patten, FHWA
       Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
       Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
       File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Janet Krivacek
USFS - Butte District Ranger
1820 Meadowlark Lane
Butte, MT 59701-6816

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/

We have identified Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.

On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.
Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy:  Jeff Ebert, MDT
       Joe Walsh, MDT
       Dustin Rouse, MDT
       Lynn Zanto, MDT
       Jim Skinner, MDT
       Zia Kazimi, MDT
       Carol Strizich, MDT
       Jean Riley, MDT
       Barry Brosten, MDT
       Deb Wambach, MDT
       Wayne Noem, MDT
       Brian Andersen, MDT
       Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
       Jeff Patten, FHWA
       Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
       Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
       Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
       File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Charles Coleman
EPA - Montana
10 West 15th ST, Suite 3200
Helena, MT 59626

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pub involve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pub involve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
      Joe Walsh, MDT
      Dustin Rouse, MDT
      Lynn Zanto, MDT
      Jim Skinner, MDT
      Zia Kazimi, MDT
      Carol Strizich, MDT
      Jean Riley, MDT
      Barry Brosten, MDT
      Deb Wambach, MDT
      Wayne Noem, MDT
      Brian Andersen, MDT
      Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
      Jeff Patten, FHWA
      Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
      Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
      Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Wendy Thomi
EPA - Montana
10 West 15th ST, Suite 3200
Helena, MT 59626

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief

Attachments

Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
     Joe Walsh, MDT
     Dustin Rouse, MDT
     Lynn Zanto, MDT
     Jim Skinner, MDT
     Zia Kazimi, MDT
     Carol Strizich, MDT
     Jean Riley, MDT
     Barry Brosten, MDT
     Deb Wambach, MDT
     Wayne Noem, MDT
     Brian Andersen, MDT
     Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
     Jeff Patten, FHWA
     Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
     Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
     Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
     File

Distribution List:

Stephen Potts, US Environmental Protection Agency
Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Todd Tillinger, US Army Corps of Engineers
Tony Liane, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Southwest Land Office
Fred Staedler, MT Department of Natural Resources & Conservation Anaconda Unit Office
Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Beau Downing, SPA Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Ray Vinke, Wildlife Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
June 28, 2011

Stephen Potts  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of the Director  
10 West 15th Street, Suite 3200  
Helena MT 59626

Subject: Invitation to Participate in MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Study

The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has initiated a pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Planning Study to determine cost-effective ways to address transportation needs within the MT-1 corridor between Anaconda and Georgetown Lake, Montana. The study will analyze improvement options taking into consideration environmental issues and constraints, as well as technical feasibility and costs. This analysis will form the basis of a future NEPA/MEPA process as a project is forwarded from the study.

We would like to invite you to participate in an agency workshop for the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study to be held in Helena (video conferencing can be made available for participants at distant sites). The purpose of this meeting is to introduce you to the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study process and discuss your concerns regarding resources that could be affected by potential improvement options. The study area is entirely within Anaconda-Deer Lodge County along MT-1 from Reference Post 10.06 (North Cable Road) to 27.35 (Georgetown Lake Road). The proposed study area is located within the following legal descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5N</td>
<td>11W, 12W, 13W</td>
<td>6, 7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A study area map is included with this letter, along with the first study newsletter and draft environmental scan. Please take a look at the study area map and identify any known resources and/or concerns within the study area. Feel free to mark the maps as you see necessary. Additional study information is available at the following website: [http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

We have identified **Tuesday, July 19, 2011 from 1:00 pm – 4:00 pm**, for the agency workshop.

A representative from the consulting firm, Robert Peccia and Associates, will be contacting you the first week of July to confirm your availability on this day. If you are unavailable to participate in this agency workshop on this day, I would appreciate if you would confer with your colleagues to identify an alternate representative who can discuss the identified and affected resources in the study area. The agency workshop will be held in MDT’s Planning Conference Room A, which is located at 2960 Prospect Avenue. This is on the north side of U.S. Highway 287 and directly adjacent to Les Schwab Tires.
On behalf of the planning team, we look forward to working with you on this important study to identify reasonable improvement options for the MT-1 corridor. Please contact me at (406) 444-0879 if you have any questions prior to the meeting.

Thank you in advance for your agency’s participation.

Tom Martin
Environmental Services Bureau Chief
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Copy: Jeff Ebert, MDT
Joe Walsh, MDT
Dustin Rouse, MDT
Lynn Zanto, MDT
Jim Skinner, MDT
Zia Kazimi, MDT
Carol Strizich, MDT
Jean Riley, MDT
Barry Brosten, MDT
Deb Wambach, MDT
Brian Andersen, MDT
Bob Burkhardt, FHWA
Jeff Patten, FHWA
Elaine Lux-Burt, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Connie Daniels, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Bob Horne, Anaconda-Deer Lodge County
Jeff Key, Robert Peccia and Associates
Ken Leonard, Cambridge Systems
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Mike McGrath, Wildlife Biologist/ Section 7 Coordinator, US Fish & Wildlife Service
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Robert Ray, MT Department of Environmental Quality
Jeff Ryan, MT Department of Environmental Quality
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Patrick Saffel, Regional Fisheries Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
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Rory Zarling, FAS Coordinator, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Michael Thompson, Regional Wildlife Manager, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Jason Lindstrom, Fisheries Biologist, MT Fish, Wildlife & Parks – Region 2
Janet Krivacek, Butte District Ranger, United States Forest Service
MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study

WORKSHOP AGENDA

LOCATION:
MDTCNF Planning A Conference Room
2960 Prospect Avenue

DATE:
Tuesday, July 19, 2011

TIME:
1:00 PM to 4:00 PM

This workshop will include a presentation of the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study and discussion about resource area concerns and issues located within the study area.

The workshop will begin promptly at 1:00 PM, and will end no later than 4:00 PM. The following items will be discussed at this resource agency workshop:

Workshop Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions (1:00 PM—1:15 PM)
2. Presentation about Corridor Study (1:15 PM—1:45 PM)
3. Discussion about Resource Areas—Issues and Concerns (1:45 PM—?)
4. Conclusion and Next Steps

For questions contact:
Jeff Key, P.E.
RPA Project Manager
(406) 447-5000
jeff.key@rpa-hln.com

The MDT and RPA attempt to provide accommodations for any known disability that may interfere with a person participating in any service, program, or activity associated with this study. Alternative accessible formats of this information will be provided upon request. For further information, call (406) 447-5000 or TTY (800) 335-7592, or call Montana Relay at 711. Accommodation requests must be made at least 48 hours prior to the scheduled activity and/or meeting.
 Purpose of this Workshop

- Introduce the MT-1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study
- Provide an overview of Corridor Planning Process
- Solicit input from Resource Agencies regarding data gathered
  - Is the data complete
  - Are we missing data
  - Are there areas of concern
  - General comments about the corridor
Goals and Purpose of Study

- Engage constituents early!
- Identify constraints
- Identify short-range and long-range improvements
- Develop planning level cost estimates
- Develop information and data to be forwarded into the environmental process if a project moves forward from the study

MT-1 Corridor Overview
Study Area Boundary

Corridor Planning vs. NEPA/MEPA
What is a Corridor Planning Study?

- Pre-NEPA/MEPA Corridor Study
  - Not a NEPA/MEPA Study or Environmental Study
  - Not a Preliminary or Final Design Project
  - Not a Construction or Maintenance Project
  - Not a Right of Way Acquisition Project
- It is........

An effort that involves early communication with interested parties to help identify needs, constraints and opportunities for a corridor — and help determine if there are implementable improvement options — given available resources and local support.

Corridor Planning Approach

- Corridor studies:
  - Are a “high level scan”
  - Define transportation issues/areas of concern
  - Assesses a broad range of options
  - Considers social, economic and environmental effects at an early stage
  - Provides a level of analysis that can support informed and sustainable decisions
Corridor Planning Approach

- Corridor studies:
  - Identify cost-effective and feasible strategies
  - Consider community concerns and values
  - Fosters greater cooperation among agencies and other stakeholders
  - Determines concerns or constraints early and forward this information into the NEPA/MEPA process
  - Can streamline the overall process

- Corridor planning:
  - Informs the NEPA/MEPA process
    - Issues Identification
    - Purpose and Need
    - Alternatives Development
    - Technical Analyses
    - Information on Impacts
  - Can reduce the cost of environmental process
  - Can speeds project delivery
Corridor Planning Approach

Corridor planning:
- Provides early and continuous involvement
- Prioritize future transportation improvements based on financial feasibility
- Identifies corridor management strategies

Community Involvement and Study Schedule
Community Involvement Activities

- Two community informational meetings
- Presentations and outreach to civic groups, stakeholders and landowners as warranted
- Study newsletters
- Website/toll free line
- Informal meetings

Study Schedule

MT 1 West of Anaconda to Georgetown Lake Corridor Planning Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Resource Agency Meeting</th>
<th>Report End of 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>Study Scope and Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2</td>
<td>Project Management and Accounting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3</td>
<td>Develop Community &amp; Stakeholder Technology, Final &amp; Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4</td>
<td>Study Final Condition, Final Scoping Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5</td>
<td>Identify Needs, Legal, Goals, and Screening for Ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6</td>
<td>Determine Alternatives, Options, Alternatives and Final Alignment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7</td>
<td>Development Approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8</td>
<td>Develop Concept Route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 9</td>
<td>Develop Cost Estimates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic Data

- Ranges from 3800 vehicles per day (vpd) near Anaconda to 1300 vpd near Georgetown Lake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E of Haufbrau Tavern Turnoff</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3640</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>4140</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>3730</td>
<td>3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mi W of Bridge Ln - RP 11</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>3830</td>
<td>3340</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>3480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W of Jones Ln - RP 13</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>2540</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W of MDT Gravel Stockpile - RP 15</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Silver Lake - RP 23</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year 2010 Volumes Highest Near Anaconda

Highest Years (Past Decade)
**Posted Speeds**

Speed data collected at four locations in June 2011

- Primary speed data factor for determining the validity of the posted speed limit is the 85th percentile speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Posted Speed Limit (mph)</th>
<th>ADT (vpd)</th>
<th>85th Percentile Speed (mph)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP 11.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3902</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 14.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 15.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP 24.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Note: Indicates greater than 5 mph difference]
Access Points

- Approximately 156 access points along the study corridor
- Average density of 9.02 accesses/mile
- First five miles west of Anaconda has highest density of (16.19 access/mile)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin RP</th>
<th>End RP</th>
<th>Length (mi)</th>
<th>Access Points</th>
<th>Density (Access / mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>27.35</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>9.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safety (Reported Crashes)

- For period between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2009
- 67 total reported crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash Rate (per MVM)</th>
<th>1.16</th>
<th>1.22</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corridor Rates Less Than Statewide Average?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity Rate (per MVM)</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geometric Areas of Concern

Environmental Conditions in the Corridor
Physical and Environmental Resources

- Geographic Setting
- Land Ownership / 4(f)
- Soil Resources and Prime Farmland
- Water Resources
- Wetlands
- Floodplains and Floodways
- Montana FWP and WMA
- Hazardous Substances
- Air Quality
- Visual Resources
- Biological Resources
- Vegetation
- Cultural and Archaeological Resources
- Social

Geographic Setting

- Average Elevation 6,000’
- Recreational and Residential Land Use
- MT-1 is a Minor Arterial
  - 22’ to 44’ width
  - Constructed and/or improved (1934 – 1995)
Land Ownership / 4(f)

- Predominately privately owned land adjacent to the corridor
- No 6(f) resources identified in study area
- 4(f) resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type of 4(f) Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Station</td>
<td>Historic site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA&amp;P Spur</td>
<td>Railroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvey Cabin</td>
<td>Historic site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Water System</td>
<td>Historic site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrity Mountain WMA</td>
<td>Wildlife Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Eyed Nellie WMA</td>
<td>Wildlife Management Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soil Resources and Prime Farmland
Water Resources

Surface Water

- 303(d) Listed Water Bodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Body</th>
<th>Water Body ID</th>
<th>Probable Cause of Impairment</th>
<th>Impaired Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warm Springs Creek</td>
<td>MT76G002_012</td>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Aquatic Life, Cold Water Fishery, Drinking Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium, Copper, Lead Zinc, Iron</td>
<td>Aquatic Life, Cold Water Fishery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water Resources

Groundwater

- Deer Lodge County
  - Has not developed Local Water Quality District
Wetlands

Floodplains and Floodways

- 100 – Year Flood (base flood for floodplain management programs)
  - Most of the Study Corridor – 100 year
  - Maps unavailable for west end near Georgetown Lake
    - Zone D - Undetermined
Montana FWP and WMA

- Garrity Mountain WMA
  - 9,475 acres
  - Elk
  - Deer
  - Bighorn Sheep
  - Other?

- Blue Eyed Nellie WMA
  - 164 acres
  - Bighorn Sheep
  - Other?
Hazardous Substances

- NRIS
  - Several UST locations
  - Four LUST locations
  - Several abandoned, inactive and/or active mine sites
  - One Federal Superfund program site – Georgetown Railroad
**Air Quality**

- NOT non-attainment area
  - PM - 2.5
  - PM - 10
  - CO

**Traffic Noise**

- Traffic noise may need to be evaluated if a “Type I” project is ever developed

- A “Type I” project includes:
  - A significant shift in horizontal or vertical alignments
  - Increasing the number of thru-lanes
  - Increasing the traffic speeds and volume

- Noise abatement measures may be necessary if noise impacts exceed appropriate thresholds
Visual Resources

- Landscape Character
- Visual Sensitivity
- Scenic Integrity
- Landscape Visibility

Biological Resources

- Fish and Wildlife
- Vegetation
Fish and Wildlife
General Fish and Wildlife

- Common Mammals
  - White-tail deer
  - Mule deer
  - Bighorn sheep
  - Black Bear
  - Elk
  - Moose
  - Mountain Lion
  - Coyote

- Amphibians and Reptiles
  - Columbia spotted frog
  - Rocky Mountain tailed frog
  - Long-toed salamander

- Birds
  - Bald Eagle
  - Golden Eagle
  - Migratory Song Birds and Raptors

Fish and Wildlife
Aquatic Resources

- Fisheries
  - Warm Springs Creek
  - Stumptown Pond
  - AMC Pond
  - Silver Lake
  - Georgetown Lake

- Fish Species - Common
  - Brown trout*
  - Longnose sucker
  - Mottled sculpin

- Fish Species - Rare
  - Rainbow trout*
  - Slimy sculpin
  - Brook trout*
  - Bull trout**
  - Mountain whitefish
  - Westslope cutthroat trout**

*Exotic species, not native to Montana
**State species of special concern
### Fish and Wildlife

#### Threatened and Endangered Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deer Lodge County</th>
<th>Threatened and Endangered Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salvelinus confluentus</td>
<td>Bull Trout* LT, CH, PCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canis lupus</td>
<td>Gray Wolf** LE, XN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymallus arcticus</td>
<td>Arctic Grayling C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LT – Listed Threatened  
CH – Critical Habitat  
PCH – Potential Critical Habitat  
LE – Listed Endangered  
XN – Experimental, Non-Essential  
C – Candidate

**NOTES:**  
* Critical Habitat  
** Gray Wolf recently delisted (May 2011)

---

### Fish and Wildlife

#### Species of Concern

- **Mammals**
  - Canada lynx (S3)
  - Dwarf shrew (S2S3)
  - Fisher (S3)
  - Gray Wolf (S4)
  - Wolverine (S3)

- **Birds**
  - Peregrine Falcon (S3)
  - Bald Eagle (S3)
  - Great blue heron rookeries (S3)
  - Great gray owl (S3)

- **Fish**
  - Lewis’s woodpecker (S2B)
  - Northern goshawk (S3)

- **Other**
  - One invertebrate species
  - Three vascular plant species

- **Fish**
  - Bull trout (S2)
  - Westslope cutthroat trout (S2)
Fish and Wildlife
Species of Concern

Fish and Wildlife
Animal-Vehicle Collisions

- Whitetail Deer
- Bighorn Sheep
  - RP 14.7 – 15.7
  - Single incident resulted in 8 sheep deaths (RP 14.4)
- Moose
  - 9 carcasses collected between 2006 and 2010
Fish and Wildlife
Wildlife Connectivity and Distribution

Vegetation

- Rocky Mountain Lodgepole Pine Forest - 23%
- Rocky Mountain Lower Montane, Foothill, and Valley Grassland - 14%
- Montane Sagebrush Steppe - 12%
- Rocky Mountain Montane Douglas-Fir Forest and Woodland - 9%
- Rocky Mountain Subalpine-Uppermontane Grassland - 7%
- Rocky Mountain Subalpine Dry-Mesic Spruce-Fir Forest and Woodland - 6%
- Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Schrubland - 4%
- Other - 25%
Vegetation

- Threatened and Endangered Species
  - No endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate plant species.

- Noxious Weeds
  - Invaders Database System
    - 60 exotic plants
    - 18 noxious weeds

- ADLC Listed Species (Resolution No. 10-24)
  - Babysbreath
  - Common mullein
  - Curly dock
  - Kochia
  - Musk thistle
  - Sowthistle

Cultural and Archaeological Resources

- Historic Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Site No.</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>NRHP elig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Station</td>
<td>24DL425</td>
<td>17, 18, 20, 21</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA &amp; P Spur</td>
<td>24DL426</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvey Cabin</td>
<td>24DL427</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Lake Water System</td>
<td>24DL691</td>
<td>21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 35</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td>13W</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cultural and Archaeological Resources

Social

Demographic Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer Lodge County</td>
<td>9,298</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>$32,173</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Montana</td>
<td>989,415</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>$42,222</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>308,745,538</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>$50,221</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Social

Population Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deer Lodge County</th>
<th>State of MT</th>
<th>USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population a</td>
<td>9,298</td>
<td>989,415</td>
<td>308,745,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White b (%)</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American b (%)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native b (%)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian b (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander b (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino b (%)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or more races b (%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: US Census Bureau
a 2010 Data
b 2010 Data in Percent (%)

Environmental Scan

- Environmental Scan has been completed (January 2011)
- Helps provide sufficient information to compare conceptual improvement options
  - Areas of concern
  - Greater or lesser impacts
  - Can impacts be avoided, minimized or mitigated – and at what cost?
  - Procedural hurdles
Next Steps

- On-going study coordination and outreach
- Complete existing and projected conditions report
- Analyze transportation needs
- Identify potential improvement options
- Draft Corridor Study Report

Upcoming Meetings

- Community Informational Meeting #1
  - Monday, July 25
  - 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM
  - Smitty’s Barn
Conclusion

- Questions, answers and/or comments?
  - Study website:
    - [http://www.mdt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/](http://www.mdt.gov/pubinvolve/mt1/)

Study newsletters:

Study contact:
- Jeff Key, P.E.  
  - Email: Jeff.key@rpa-hln.com
- Robert Peccia and Associates  
  - Tel: (406) 447-5000
- 825 Custer Avenue  
  - Fax: (406) 447-5036
- Helena, Montana 59601
RESOURCE AGENCY WORKSHOP - MINUTES

Prepared For:
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Helena, Montana

Prepared By:
ROBERT PECCIA & ASSOCIATES
Helena, Kalispell & Butte, Montana

Tuesday, July 19, 2011
1:00 PM – 2:45 PM
MDTCNF Planning A Conference Room
Resource Agency Workshop – Minutes

Tuesday, July 19, 2011
1:00 PM – 2:45 PM
MDTCNF Planning A Conference Room

ATTENDANCE

- Jeff Ebert (MDT)*
- Dustin Rouse (MDT)*
- Joe Walsh (MDT)*
- Carol Strizich (MDT)
- Jean Riley (MDT)
- Deb Wambach (MDT)
- Brian Andersen (MDT)
- Elaine Lux-Burt (ADLC)
- Connie Daniels (ADLC)
- Bob Horne (ADLC)*
- Steve Potts (EPA)
- Jason Lindstrom (MFWP)
- Beau Downing (MFWP)
- Mike McGrath (USFWS)
- Karen Vaughn (MT DNRC)*
- Debbie Blank (USACOE)
- Jeff Ryan (MDEQ)
- Laura Andersen (MDEQ)
- Art Burbank (USFS)
- Jeff Key (RPA)
- Scott Randall (RPA)
- Ken Leonard (CS)*

*Call-in

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

The Resource Agency Workshop for the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study was held on Tuesday, July 19, 2011. The purpose of the meeting was to describe the study and discuss resource areas of concern and issues within the study area. Carol Strizich provided a welcome and opening remarks, followed by introductions of individuals both present and those calling on the phone.
**Meeting Presentation**

Jeff Key gave a presentation on the MT-1 Corridor Planning Study which included a discussion about the background of corridor planning studies as well as a summary of the environmental scan report. The primary focus of the meeting was to ensure that the information captured in the environmental scan was accurate, and that any additional information or concerns by the resource agencies were addressed.

The following comments were made during the meeting:

**Wildlife**

- Moose and Big Horn Sheep mortality and habitat connectivity are of concern in the study area.
  - Jim Weatherly with MT Wild Sheep Foundation at 406-531-5015 may have additional information. (Jeff Ryan)
  - Moose are seen around the Saddle Club. (Connie)
  - Special sensitivity is needed between RP 14 and RP 16
- Deer collisions are common throughout the corridor.
- Western (Boreal) Toad should be added to the common mammals list. (Jason)
- Red Fox should be added to the common mammals list. (Elaine)
- Gray Wolf has been delisted, remove from threatened and endangered (T&E) list, treat as species of concern (SOC). (Mike and Deb Wambach)
- Add wolverine to T&E list as a candidate. (Mike)
- Consider wolverine connectivity. Contact Mike Schwartz from Rocky Mountain Reserve Station for snow modeling and trapping data. (Mike)
- No Lynx or critical habitats are documented in the study area. (Mike and Deb Wambach)

**Fisheries / Water**

- There are entrainment concerns that fish may get trapped in larger irrigation ditches / canals located within the study area. (Jason)
- Combine fish species together rather than use MFISH categories. (Jason and Deb Wambach)
- Arctic Grayling occur in the Big Hole Drainage, not the Clark Fork Drainage. Remove from T&E species list and treat as SOC. (Jason)
- There is Bull Trout critical habitat in the study area.
- There is a noted spawning pond and spring area near Georgetown Lake Road. Brad Liermann with MFWP has more information. (Jeff Ryan)
- There are concerns about North Fork Flint Creek in the study area relative to fish passage, the presence of spawning habitat, and overall impacts. (Jeff Ryan)
- Permanent sediment and erosion control should be utilized within the study area to prevent run-off into streams. (Jeff Ryan)
- Avoid and minimize stream encroachment. (Steve)
- Culverts on fish-bearing streams are generally in poor condition. Improvements should be made to fish passage and stream processes through structures. (Jason)
- Fish passage, sediment and run-off, and lateral and structural encroachment into streams are areas of concern. (Jeff Ryan)
- Mitigation of stream and wetland impacts need to be considered. (Deb Blank)

**Other**

- Butte-Silver Bow (BSB) is replacing the Silver Lakes Flume with culverts. (Connie)
- The MFWP fishing access area is thought to be a 4(f) resource.
- The Superfund clean-up is complete. There may be remnant waste from Lime Quarry to Georgetown Lake Road along the railroad bed. (Steve)
- Pine beetle hazard tree removal and fuel reduction efforts on private and USFS lands should be considered. (Art)
- MT-1 was recently designated as the *Pintler Veterans’ Memorial Scenic Highway*. (Elaine)
- Sensitivity to the recently completed Growth Policy should be considered in the study. (Bob)
- The county is pursuing sewer line extensions to West Valley. These are time-sensitive due to funding constraints. (Connie)
- Consider non-traffic related recreational use in the corridor along the railroad bed, such as ATVs, motor bikes, bicycles, horses and pedestrians. (Connie)

**Conclusions and Next Steps**

Jeff concluded the meeting with a discussion about the next steps for the study. The Community Informational Meeting is scheduled for July 25th, at 6:00 PM at Smitty’s Barn (50 Theatre Lane, Anaconda, MT). The meeting concluded at 2:45 PM.
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