Bid Letting: February 23, 2012

201 - BLVD AVE - 16TH W - W 11TH ST - HAVRE
****
Clarification:
Submitted: Fri, 27-Jan-2012 11:30 MST
Special Provision No. 21, PROTECTION OF STORM WATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL PERMIT REQUIREMENTS is hereby removed from this contract. The City of Havre is not in a Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) designated MS4 area, therefore this special provision is not applicable.
****
Clarification:
Submitted: Mon, 30-Jan-2012 13:27 MST
Sheet S-16 is hereby replaced. The crosswalk striping at Rich Street is revised to match current ADA design and the crosswalk sign location at Sta. 306+44 Lt was moved in order to avoid existing patio pavers.
PLAN SHEET NO. S-16
****
Clarification:
Submitted: Mon. 3-Jan-2012 14:57 MST
Special Provision #17 - Traffic Control Plan and Sequence of Operations is hereby modified as follows:

Under B. Sequence of Operations - move (3) Vehicular Access… directly under (2) Private Approaches.
****
-1-
Submitted: Mon, 13-Feb-2012 10:42 MST
Company: Prairie Hydroseeding
Contact: Bob Keeler
Question:
Item 43. RETAINING WALL. B.1)c). Finish and color: Red.
My Montana supplier states that red is currently not available in the compact style. It may be processed, but for an addition cost. He recommends grey or tan which are currently available. This is in the type recommended in the plans. He does hve 6" in red but in the intermediate style. May we quote in thes two other options.
Answer:
Submitted: Mon. 13-Feb-2012 13:23 MST
Tan is an acceptable color substitution for this retaining wall application.

-2-
Submitted: Tue, 14-Feb-2012 09:51 MST
Company: LHC, Inc
Contact: David Steely
Question:
Where is any detailed information located in the plans or specs that spell out what is involved with items 202 020 540 "Remove Miscellaneous Items" and 202 020 555 "Reset Miscellaneous"?
Answer:
Submitted: Fri. 17-Feb-2012 12:25 MST
Please refer to summary sheet #18 - Summary Frame "Bollards" and plan

sheet #63 - 16th Ave.

-3-
Submitted: Tue, 14-Feb-2012 10:34 MST
Company: Riverside Contracting Inc.
Contact: Dennis Devous
Question:
Would the Department consider waiving or lowering the compaction specification
for the areas of Asphalt that on such steep grades?
Answer:
Submitted: Fri, 17-Feb-2012 07:40 MST
The density requirements will not be waived.

-4-
Submitted: Tue, 14-Feb-2012 11:05 MST
Company: Riverside Contracting Inc.
Contact: Dennis Devous
Question:
Riverside feels this project should not have a ride specification on as the curb
and gutter on it as well as manholes, vater valves, and steep grades to contend
with. Please consider eliminating the ride specification.
Answer:
Submitted: Fri, 17-Feb-2012 07:38 MST
The ride specification will be evaluated as a Category III Project due to the urban conditions that you have described.

-5-
Submitted: Thu, 16-Feb-2012 14:46 MST
Company: Bill Baltrusch Construction, Inc.
Contact: Jerry E. Mellem
Question:
Due to the segmented nature of the project and that the plant mix quantity is slightly over the 10000 ton minimum for Grade D Commercial Plant Mix, would the MDOT consider using Grade D Commercial Plant Mix in lieu of the planned Grade S Plant Mix? (This may allow staged paving).
Answer:
Submitted: Tue, 21-Feb-2012 07:11 MST
MDT Plant Mix Policy requires Grade S Plant Mix for quantities over 2000 tons.
In addition, projects with plant mix quantity over 8,000 tons should include the
Grade S Volumetric Special Provision. This project will remain Grade S Volumetric
as specified.

---

202 - D4 - CULVERTS - PHASE IV

-1-
Submitted: Thu, 02-Feb-2012 10:33 MST
Company: Yellowstone Environmental Contracting LLC
Contact: Zac Mader
Question:
It states on page 8 (section 11) of the special provisions "Place

straw erosion control between the channel bottom and the temporary detour fill through the active channel only". Is it requiring straw blankets or straw wattles? In the plans(pg 25 & 26) it states the use of Erosion Control Fabric and it also states straw to be used at the bottom of the channel. So what is the straw?
Answer:
Submitted: Mon. 06-Feb-2012 9:08 MST
The intent is to place a minimum of one foot of loose straw to separate the existing
active channel and the fill material. Please refer to note located below the detour
typical concerning the straw use.

-2-
Submitted: Thu, 02-Feb-2012 10:36 MST
Company: Yellowstone Environmental Contracting LLC
Contact: Zac Mader
Question:
Are the straw and erosion control fabric seperate bid items or are they supposed to be grouped in lump sum?
Answer:
Submitted: Mon. 06-Feb-2012 10:10 MST
Include in the associated lump sum item.

-3-
Submitted: Tue, 14-Feb-2012 16:30 MST
Company: Contech
Contact: Dennis Dirks
Question:
For the structural plate at sites 8 & 9. Is there a special provision discussing the
actual process to verify which plates will be reused? It appears that most of the
plates in place will be reused. Is the entire structure being exposed, disassembled and then reassembled incorporating new plates? I think there needs to be some clarification about the process and responsibilities.
Answer:
Submitted: Thu. 16-Feb-2012 11:30 MST
There is no special verifying what plates to use.
The intent at site 8 (dbl 14' 3"s x 9' 10"r SSPPA) is to supply 72 ft. new, reuse
204 ft., and remove 72 ft. The intent at site 9 (13' 6"s x 9' 6"r SSPPA) is to supply
46 ft. new, reuse 80 ft., and remove 46 ft. The removal pipe is the older pipe that
was extended on a past project and is located in the middle portion of the pipe.
The reused portions are the extensions that were added. The pipe can be removed
in any manner that doesn't damage the portions to be reused. There is no requirement to disassemble the existing sections that will be reused. The entire
pipe will need to be removed to establish grade. MDT will stake and paint the
pattern of removal inside the pipes so that a plate order can be agreed on as early
as possible.

-4-
Submitted: Wed. 15-Feb-2012 4:30 MST
Company: Stadeli Boring & Tunneling, Inc
Contact: Larry Stadeli
Question:
Page 23, Item 29 in regards to the steel coating pipe spec there meeting AWWA-C-200, this is a waterline pressure pipe requiring hydro-testing spec. Does the MDT really want to spend the money for hydro testing when it's a culvert
pipe and not needing this?
Typically steel casing pipe would meet A-36 plate spec with welding to D1.1 welding
spec when used for auger boring.
Answer:
Submitted: Thu. 16-Feb-2012 12:25 MST
Pipe material needs to meet AWWA-C-200. Look into AWWA-C-206 for testing and inspection.

-5-
Submitted: Thu, 16-Feb-2012 13:12 MST
Company: Stillwater Excavating
Contact: Greg Russell
Question:
Do the reused sections of multiplate pipe need to be cleaned and coated with asphalt?
Answer:
Submitted: Thu. 16-Feb-2012 13:50 MST
Yes, coat per standard spec. 709.04

---

203 - COLUMBUS - EAST

-1-
Submitted: Mon, 13-Feb-2012 11:20 MST
Company: Knife River
Contact: Dave Resch
Question:
Can you please post the current IRI information for this project?
Answer:
Submitted: Wed, 15-Feb-2012 08:25 MST
Westbound and eastbound pre-pave IRI data sheets are attached.
WESTBOUND PRE-PAVE IRI DATA SHEET
EASTBOUND PRE-PAVE IRI DATA SHEET

-2-
Submitted: Thu, 16-Feb-2012 13:56 MST
Company: Industrial Builders
Contact: Jay Carney
Question:
Two questions:
The milling detail for section 3 shows milling flush to the face of the guardrail.
Our equipment would leave approximately 6 inches assuming the guardrail remains in place, will this require trimming?

Also, for clarification, the milling tabulations shows 0.1 inch depth, are the
typical sections that show 0.1' the correct depth?
Answer:
Submitted: Fri, 17-Feb-2012 08:44 MST
The 6" in question would not require trimming next to the guardrail.

The 0.1' milling depth shown in the typical section is correct.

---

204 - HAVRE WEST - US - 2/MT 11-1
-1-
Submitted: Tue, 31-Jan-2012 14:43 MST
Company: T.P. Construction
Contact: Dave Armstrong
Question:
Drawings show pioneered road but supply no detail. Is this road
to be built however we see fit within the ROW due to rock outcroppings?
Answer:
Submitted: Thu, 02-Feb-2012 11:21 MST
The intent of the pioneered road is to provide a 10' - 12' wide permanent equipment access to the rock bench for future maintenance purposes. The horizontal alignment shown on the plans is a best fit given the available information. Field adjust the pioneered road as necessary to minimize grades and provide the best access. The work for the pioneered road is considered incidental to other bid items and is not paid separately.

-2-
Submitted: Tue, 07-Feb-2012 11:29 MST
Company: T.P. Construction
Contact: Dave Armstrong
Question:
In proposal item 15. Construction, excavation and waste - Is the waste materials stockpiled required to be compacted and moisture conditioned as in 203.03.3 of 2006 spec book? Also does this stockpile fall under the revegatation with 3 inches of topsoil?
Answer:
Submitted: Tue. 07-Feb-2012 14:30 MST
Density and moisture requirements for embankment do not apply to the stockpiled waste materials.
The waste stockpiles do not require revegetation topsoil.

-3-
Submitted: Thu, 09-Feb-2012 10:26 MST
Company: T.P. Construction
Contact: Dave Armstrong
Question:
If bituminous pavement is not damaged during project is it still required to install new bituminous materials? If new bituminous pavement is not required due to no damage, where does one incorpoate asphalt protection costs within the bid?
Answer:
Submitted: Fri, 10-Feb-2012 11:07 MST
If the paved surface is not damaged during the project, it will not be necessary to remove the existing bituminous surfacing and replace. It is likely that the construction blasting operations will cause some

damage to the existing surface. It is the contractor's responsibility to maintain the surface and provide a smooth surface for traffic after each days blasting or construction activities. Costs to protect and maintain the surface during construction should be included in the bid items associated with the work being done.

---

205 - 3RD ST NW & NW BYPASS - GTF

****

Clarification:

Submitted: Thu, 02-Sep-2010 15:08

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information. ADDENDUM

To download the addendum bid file, click here. BID FILES

****

-1-

Submitted: Fri, 17-Feb-2012 14:43 MST

Company: Pavlik Electric

Contact: John

Question:

1. Will a bid item be provided for the Class 4 Pole listed in the Electrical Quantity Summary?

2. Will curb and sidewalk removal and replacment bid items be provided?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 21-Feb-2012 10:15 MST

1. An addendum will be issued today to add bid item 619 010 410, Poles-Treated Timber Class 4, 30.0 Lnft.

2. Refer to Standard Specification 616.03.1, "Restore existing surfaces disturbed by conduit or pull box installations to the original type and condition." Include all costs associated with this work in other bid items.

---

206 - BRIDGE SCOUR I-90 MP 24

-1-

Submitted: Wed, 01-Feb-2012 09:47 MST

Company: S&K Environmental LLC

Contact: Sarah Lumpry

Question:

Is a bid bond required? If so what percent?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 02-Feb-2012 08:55 MST

A bid bond for 10% of the total bid is required per Standard Specification 102.09 - Proposal Guaranty. Standard and Supplemental Specification 102.07 and 102.08 also contain pertinent information regarding bid bonds. The bid bond must be on the Departments most current version of the bid bond form that can be found at the following link or is also available when printing the Expedite bid file:

BID BOND FORM